

Antioxidant and Cytotoxic Activities of Fumaria parviflora Lam. and Fumaria capreolata L.

Tülay Aşkın ÇELIK^{1,67}, Özlem Sultan ASLANTÜRK², Ebru Şebnem YILMAZ³, Yelda GÜZEL⁴

^{1,2}Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Science, Aydın Adnan Menderes University, 09010 Aydın, Türkiye, ^{3,4}Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Science, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, 31060 Hatay, Türkiye

 \boxtimes : tcelik@adu.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to examine the in vitro antioxidant and cytotoxic effects of methanol extracts of Fumaria parviflora Lam. and Fumaria capreolata. Antioxidant assays carried out by employing 1,1diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging and phosphomolybdate assays. Cell viability was quantified by employing MTT assay for 24, 48 and 72 h of exposures to 25-250 µgmL-1 concentrations of the extracts. F. parviflora methanol extract possessed the highest antioxidant activities in both free DPPH scavenging and total antioxidant capacity assays. Results from MTT assay revealed that F. capreolata methanol extract significantly increased the cell proliferation in human hepatocellular carcinoma (Hep3B) cells after 24 and 48 hours of treatment. After 72 hours of treatment, methanol extract slightly inhibited the proliferation of Hep3B cell lines, in a time-and dose-dependent manner. While F. parviflora extract had the highest cytotoxic effect on Hep3B cells after 72 hours of the treatment. F. capreolata did not cause any significant cytotoxic effect on these cell lines.

Biochemistry

Research Article

Article HistoryReceived: 19.03.2021Accepted: 16.07.2021

Keywords

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl Antioxidant activity Cytotoxicity *Fumaria parviflora Fumaria capreolata*

Fumaria parviflora Lam. ve Fumaria capreolata L.'nin Antioksidan ve Sitotoksik Aktiviteleri

ÖZET

Bu çalışmada, Fumaria parviflora ve Fumaria capreolata metanol özütlerinin in vitro antioksidan aktiviteleri ile iki farklı insan hepatosellüler karsinoma (Hep3B ve HepG2) hücre hattı üzerindeki sitotoksik etkileri araştırılmıştır. Özütlerin antioksidan aktiviteleri 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radikalini süpürme ve fosfomolibdat testi ile belirlenmiştir. Hücre canlılığı ise 24, 48 ve 72 saatlik farklı özüt konsantrasyonları (25-250 µgmL-1) ile muamele sonrasında MTT testi ile belirlenmiştir. F. parviflora ve F. capreolata metanol özütleri serbest DPPH süpürme aktivitesi ve toplam antioksidan kapasitesi açısından karşılaştırıldığında, F. parviflora metanol özütü hem DPPH serbest radikalini süpürme hem de toplam antioksidan kapasitesi bakımından yüksek aktiviteye sahiptir. MTT assay sonuçları, F. capreolata metanol özütünün 24 ve 48 saatlik muameleden sonra Hep3B hücrelerinde hücre çoğalmasını önemli ölçüde artırdığını ortaya çıkarmıştır. 72 saatlik uygulama sonrası, metanol özütü Hep3B hücrelerinin çoğalmasını zamana ve konsantrasyona bağlı şekilde hafif inhibe etmiştir. F. parviflora özütü, Hep3B hücreleri üzerinde sadece 72 saatlik uygulamada yüksek sitotoksik etkiye sahipken, F. capreolata bu hücreler üzerinde önemli bir sitotoksik etki göstermemiştir.

Biyokimya

Araştırma Makalesi

Makale Tarihçesi

Geliş Tarihi : 19.03.2021 Kabul Tarihi : 16.07.2021

Anahtar Kelimeler

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl Antioksidan aktivite Sitotoksisite *Fumaria parviflora Fumaria capreolata*

| To Cite: | Çelik TA, Aslantürk ÖS, Yılmaz EŞ, Güzel Y 2022. Antioxidant and Cytotoxic Activities of Fumaria parviflora |
|-----------|---|
| | Lam. and Fumaria capreolata L. KSU J. Agric Nat 25 (4): 819-827. https://doi.org/10.18016/ ksutarimdoga.vi. |
| | 899937. |
| A . A + . | |

Atıf İçin: Çelik TA, Aslantürk ÖS, Yılmaz EŞ, Güzel Y 2022. Fumaria parviflora Lam. ve Fumaria capreolata L.'nin Antioksidan ve Sitotoksik Aktiviteleri. KSÜ Tarım ve Doğa Derg 25 (4): 819-827. https://doi.org/10.18016/ ksutarimdoga.vi. 899937.

INTRODUCTION

Fumaria known as fumitory or earth smoke, are generally regarded as weeds in many regions; several species such as F. indica (Hausskn.) Pugsley, F. officinalis L., F. capreolata L., F. parviflora Lam., F. densiflora DC., and F. vaillantii Loisel., however, have been used for a long time as medicinal plants in folk medicine. (Zhang et al., 2020). As a result of phytochemical studies, it has been reported Fumaria species contain a large number of chemical components, including alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, steroids, triterpenoids, anthraquinones, tannins, glycosides and amino acids (Humayun et al., 2012; Jameel et al., 2014). Recently, it was reported that Fumaria species have hepatoprotective, antiviral, antiinflammatory, antinociceptive, antimicrobial, antioxidant and antitumor activity due to their compounds like isoquinoline alkaloids. F. parviflora Lam. (Papaveraceae), a small branched annual herb found in Europe, Asia, and Africa and many parts of the world including the Middle East and South Asia, are used traditionally in Turkey for the treatment of liver and bile duct disorders and dermatological disorders like acne, eczema and scabies. It was reported that the major chemical constituents of F. parviflora include alkaloids like protopine, parfumine, d-bicuculline, hydrastine, N-methlhydrastine, Nmethylhydrasteine, microcarpine, sanguinarine. adlumiceine, coptisine, fumaritine, sinactine, Nmethylstylopine and sterols like β-sitosterol, stigmasterol and campesterol (Fafal and Onür, 2007; Paltinean et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2018). Also, Bribi et al (2015) reported the in vivo and in vitro antiinflammatory and antinociceptive effects of F. capreolata total alkaloid extract, which is another herbaceous annual Fumaria plant that is native to Europe, Western Asia and Northern Africa and naturalized in Southern Australia, New Zealand, and Southern America (Atlas of Living Australia, 2021). alkaloids, Isoquinoline stylopine, protopine, fumaritine, fumaricine, fumarophycine, fumariline, fumarofine were determined by GC-MS from the aerial parts of F. capreolata (Maiza-Benbdesselam et al., 2007). In traditional medicine, it is used as a bile enhancer, in the treatment of eczema and fungal diseases in Turkey (Güzel et al., 2015).

While numerous advances in cancer treatment approach to cancer management, cancer remains the world's leading cause of death. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a primary malignancy commonly seen in the liver and accounts for as many as 1 million deaths annually worldwide. In certain areas of the world, HCC has the highest recurrence among internal malignancies and hence the customary reason for cancer death (Raza and Sood, 2014). The rising cost of conventional cancer therapies has forced people to focus more on traditional medicine (Sheldon et al., 1997). Herbal medication can be used as an alternative medical solution and a healthy option in chemotherapy and can be successful in the treatment of lower systemic toxicity treatment (Gao et al., 2011).

Antioxidant properties are dependent on a compound's molecular structure. Because of this, natural antioxidants are much more favorable to human health than synthetic antioxidants. Antioxidant agents are found in a different part of plants and have been used as an important protective factor for human health (Kumar et al., 2018). However, recent concern has been raised in the use of these plants based on their natural antioxidants for various uses, such as the food industry, preventive materials and therapeutic medicines (Nemzer et al., 2019). Although studies are present on the phytochemical content and antioxidant activities of *F. parviflora* and *F. capreolata*, there is no study about the chemotherapeutic potential of these plants as an alternative drug for liver cancer disease.

In the present study, as methanol extracts of these plant species have not been previously tested against human hepatocellular carcinoma (Hep3B and HepG2) cancer cell lines, cytotoxicity and antioxidant activities of F. parviflora and F. capreolata methanol extracts were evaluated in this study.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Chemicals

Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS) penicillin-streptomycin, MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide] were purchased from Sigma, Chem, Germany and methanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Merck, Germany.

Collection of Plant Material

The aerial parts of both *F. parviflora* and *F. capreolata* were used in this study. From the Mediterranean flora, two species were harvested in April-2018 from the Hatay region: *F. parviflora* from İskenderun-Karayılan-36°42'28.3"N 36°14'06.0"E; *F. capreolata* from Defne-Bahçeköy-36°07'34.0"N 36°06'37.6"E. Voucher numbers are, Y. Güzel-1101 for *F. parviflora* and Y. Güzel-1102 for *F. capreolata*. Identification of the plants was made by Dr. Yelda Güzel based on Cullen (1965). Voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of the Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Department of Biology.

Extraction

The aerial parts of these plant species were separated from their stems, air-dried for a week at the room temperature and stored until extraction. The air-dried samples (25 g) were grounded into fine powder using an electric blender (HR2118 Philips, Netherlands). These plant powders were separately extracted with 500 mL methanol at room temperature for 24-48 h. After filtration with 0.45 μ m pore size syringe filter, the extract was evaporated at 40 °C under reduced pressure (Laborota 4002, Heidolph). The crude extracts were kept at 4 °C until the experimental studies performed.

Antioxidant Activity of Plants

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay

The DPPH free radical scavenging potential assay is based on the stable DPPH scavenging activity. Quantitative measurements of radical scavenging assay were carried out according to the method described by Brand-Williams et al (1995). One milliliter of 0,1 mM DPPH methanol solution was added to 3 ml of various concentrations (10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 150 µgmL⁻¹) of extracts in methanol. The mixture was vigorously shaken, then left at room temperature to stand. Using a microplate reader (Elisa Reader, Biotek Co, USA) the absorbance of the mixture was measured at $\lambda = 517$ nm after 30 min. A commercially known antioxidant, ascorbic acid, was used for comparison or as a positive control. Tests were performed in triplicate (25 and 50 µg mL⁻¹).

The percentage of the DPPH free radical was calculated using the following equation:

DPPH Scavenging capacity (%) = $[(A_0 - A_l)/A_0] \times 100$ (1)

Where A_0 was the absorbance of the control and A_1 was the absorbance in the presence of the *F. parviflora* and *F. capreolata* methanol extracts. The actual decrease in absorption induced by the test was compared with the positive controls. The IC₅₀ (concentration providing 50% inhibition) values were calculated use the dose inhibition curve in the linear range by plotting the extract concentration versus the corresponding scavenging effect.

Phosphomolybdate (total antioxidant capacity) assay

The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) assay of samples was carried out by the phosphomolybdenum method (Ghafoor and Choi, 2009). A 0.1 ml aliquot of the extract (10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 150 µgmL⁻¹) solution was shaken with 1 mL of reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate). The test tubes were covered with aluminum foil and incubated in a water bath at 95 °C for 90 minutes. After the samples were cooled, the absorbances were measured at 765nm. Ascorbic acid was used as standard (25 and 50 µgmL⁻¹) and the results were expressed as µgmL⁻¹ of ascorbic acid equivalents. The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of the extracts was estimated using the following formula:

Total antioxidant capacity (%) =

 $[(Abs. of control - Abs. of sample)/(Abs. of control)] \times 100 \quad (2)$ Abs: absorbance

Cell culture and *in vitro* cytotoxicity assay

The two human hepatocellular carcinoma cancer cell lines Hep3B (ATCC HB-8016) and HepG2 (ATCC HB-8065) were used for cytotoxicity tests. HepG2 and Hep3B are the most widely used hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines in drug metabolism and hepatotoxicity studies. Despite their well-known similarities, there are significant differences between these two cell lines. HepG2 and Hep3B are of different ethnic origins. In literature differences have been described between HepG2 and Hep3B in several categories, including intrinsic and drug-dependent gene expressions, drug-modified cell cycle, cell growth inhibition, and signaling pathways associated with differential drug responses (Qui et al., 2015). These cells were cultured and routinely maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin and were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% Carbondioxide (CO_2) inside a CO_2 incubator until confluent. The cells were trypsinized and cytotoxicity assays were performed in 96 well-plates.

Cell viability was examined by the ability of the cells cleave the tetrazolium salt MTT [3-(4,5to dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyl tetrazolium bromide, by the mitochondrial enzyme succinate dehydrogenase following the procedure as described earlier (Mossman, 1983). The plant extracts were tested on cancer cell lines for their cytotoxicity using MTT assay. Briefly, cells were placed as 1x10³cells/plate into the 96-well plates, and the sample extract solutions were added at concentrations ranging from 40 µgmL⁻¹ to 250 µgmL⁻¹ for evaluation of their cytotoxicity and incubated for 24, 48, and 72 hours. The control cells only received maintenance medium. Furthermore, the anticancer agent, Farmorubicin (40 μ gmL⁻¹) was used for positive control and 0.1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), treated cells served as solvent control. The treated cells were incubated with MTT (0.5 mg/ml in phosphate buffered saline) for 4 hours at 37 °C. The medium was removed and dye crystal formazan was solubilized in DMSO. The absorbance was measured at 545 nm using a multiplate reader (Biotek Epoch, USA) (Duthie et al., 2000).

All of the absorbance values were compared to the control samples (without any compound) which represented 100% viability.

Cell viability (%)= $[(As \cdot Ab)/(Ac \cdot Ab)] \times 100$ (3)

As: Absorbance Sample

Ab: Absorbance Blank

Ac[∶] Absorbance Viable cell (control)

Statistical Analysis

Differences in the means of individual dosage group and the control of each extract was analyzed using oneway ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) in the SPSS 20.0 (USA) software package program. Level of significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$ level.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Determination of the antioxidant activities of various plant species could contribute to show the significance their as sources for new antioxidant compounds (Chaves et al., 2020). Usually, the antioxidant properties especially that of phenolic compounds in plant extracts are efficient at low concentrations and, are associated with the prevention of some diseases like cardiovascular disease and cancer in humans. (Duthie et al., 2000; Li et al., 2014; Acay et al., 2021). In recent years, herbal therapy has emerged as an important branch of complementary and alternative medicine for cancer-related targets, to reliably reduce chemotherapy-associated toxicity. Various studies have shown that natural products derived from plants can effectively regulate cancer cell proliferation, differentiation and expression for different cancers in vitro and in vivo (Barretina et al., 2014).

Antioxidant activities

The free DPPH radical scavenging activity of methanolic extract of F. parviflora as comparable with known antioxidant ascorbic acid (positive control) is shown in Table 1.

The total antioxidant activity of *F. parviflora* methanol extract was concentration-dependent and there were statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) with

increasing extract concentration. The 25 and 50 µgmL⁻ ¹ of ascorbic acid synthetic antioxidant showed high antioxidant activity in free DPPH scavenging and phosphomolybdate assays (75.00% and 76.34%, respectively). The total antioxidant capacity of F. parviflora was lower than free radical scavenging activity. On the other hand, the total antioxidant capacity of F. parviflora methanol extract was lower than free radical scavenging activity. Total antioxidant capacity increased from 75 µgmL⁻¹ to over 50%, reaching the highest value at 150 μ gmL⁻¹ (71.25%). However, these values remained low when compared to the total antioxidant capacity displayed by ascorbic acid. The absorbance was recorded at 517 nm after 30 minutes of incubation and the IC₅₀ value was calculated. IC₅₀ value is the concentration of the sample required to scavenge 50% DPPH.

The antioxidant activity of *F. capreolata* methanol extract was concentration-dependent and there was a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) with increasing extract concentration. The IC₅₀ value for free DPPH scavenging activity was also quite high (125.92 μ gmL⁻¹). Total antioxidant activity values were also similar to DPPH scavenging activity (Table 1).

When *F. parviflora* and *F. capreolata* methanol extracts were compared in terms of free DPPH scavenging activity and total antioxidant capacity, *F. parviflora* methanol extract was more effective for both free DPPH scavenging activity and total antioxidant capacity. The *F. parviflora* methanol extract showed significant free radical scavenging potential with an IC_{50} of 15.06 µgmL^{·1}. The *F. capreolata* methanol extract showed insignificant free radical scavenging activity with IC_{50} of 125.92. Ascorbic acid however showed better free radical scavenging activity with IC_{50} of 25 and 50 µgmL^{·1} been <25.00.

| Table 1. Antioxida | nt activit | ty of met | hanolic extract | of <i>F. p</i> | arviflo | <i>ra</i> and F | lcap. | reolat | a | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| Çizelge 1. F. parv. | <i>iflora</i> and | F.capree | olata methanol | ekstre | lerinin | antioks | idan | aktivi | itesi | |
| | <i></i> | | | | | | | - | | |

| | Concentrations | DPPH scavenging | IC_{50} | DPPH | Total | antioxidant | IC_{50} | Total |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | $(\mu gm L^{-1})$ | activity ($\% \pm SD$) | scavenging (μgmL ⁻¹) | | capacity (%±SD) | | antioxidant | |
| | · | | | | | | capacity(| (µgmL ⁻¹) |
| | 25 | 75.00 ± 0.007 * | | | 77.45 | ± 0.014* | | |
| Ascorbic acid | 50 | $76.34 \pm 0.007*$ | < 25.00 | | $78.89 \pm 0.031^{*}$ 22.73 ± 0.023 | | < 25.00 | |
| | 10 | 47.32 ± 0.002 * | | | | | | |
| | 25 | 50.89 ± 0.021 * | | | 36.15 | $\pm 0.006*$ | | |
| F. parviflora | 50 | 57.59 ± 0.011 * | 15.06 | | 46.48 ± 0.030 * | | 71.32 | |
| | 75 | 60.27 ± 0.016 * | | | 51.77 | ± 0.014* | | |
| | 100 | 69.64 ± 0.017 * | | | 65.57 | ± 0.004* | | |
| | 150 | 70.54 ± 0.031 * | | | 71.25 | $\pm 0.097*$ | | |
| | 10 | 24.11 ± 0.019 | | | 10.52 | ± 0.003 | | |
| | 25 | 30.36 ± 0.035 * | | | 28.04 | $\pm 0.006*$ | | |
| F. capreolata | 50 | 34.67 ± 0.009 * | | | | $32.67 \pm 0.002*$ | | |
| | 75 | 45.98 ± 0.017 * | 125.92 | 2 | 39.29 | ± 0.004* | 94.75 | |
| | 100 | 46.88 ± 0.018 * | | | 61.14 ± 0.023 * | | | |
| | 150 | 50.89 ± 0.017 * | | | 66.50 | ± 0.014* | | |

***p<0.05,** All comparisons were made with untreated control cells.

When F. parviflora and F. capreolata methanol extracts were compared in terms of free DPPH scavenging activity and total antioxidant capacity, F. parviflora methanol extract was more effective for both free DPPH scavenging activity and total antioxidant capacity. This difference between the antioxidant activities of the extracts may due to these two plants having different phytochemical contents. Moghaddam et al. (2018) investigated the antioxidant activity of F. vaillantii with various antioxidant methods (Moghaddam et al., 2018). They reported that the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of the plant reached the highest value in the vegetative period. In another study, the antioxidant activity of F. parviflora root, stem, leaf and fruit ethanolic extracts were determined by the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazil method and it was determined that the extract with the highest antioxidant activity was leaf ethanol extract (Kumar et al., 2018). Researchers have suggested that F. parviflora Lam leaf ethanolic extract has more polyphenols and flavonoid compounds, which be responsible for antioxidant may activity. Noureddine et al. (2013) reported that the total alkaloid fraction from *F*. capreolata showed antioxidant activity without any toxicity in vivo. Maiza-Benabdesselam et al. (2018) measured the antioxidant activity, reducing power, inhibiting ability of linoleic acid peroxidation and 2,2-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) of alkaloid extracts of F. capreolata (L.) and F. bastardii (L.) and determined that the extracts obtained from the aerial parts of plants contained isoquinoline alkaloids, these stylopine, protopin, fumaritine, fumaricine, fumarophycine, fumarilin, fumarofin by GC-MS method. The results of the study showed that both herbal extracts showed strong total antioxidant activity, but F. bastardii extract was more effective than F. capreolata. The results of our study are similar to the results of these researchers.

Cytotoxic activities

Cytotoxic activity of F. parviflora methanol extract

Cell viability assay results indicated that *F. parviflora* methanol extract caused a concentration-dependent increased in the proliferation of Hep3B cells (Table 2). After 24 hours of incubation, the methanol extract of *F. parviflora* started to increase proliferation from 25 μ gmL⁻¹ and showed the most increase at the highest concentration (250 μ gmL⁻¹; 257.15%) compared to the control and solvent control (DMSO) group (p<0.05). Depending on the increase in the treatment time, the viability of Hep3B cells decreased and a high cytotoxic effect was obtained after 72 hours at 250 μ gmL⁻¹ concentration (33.65%.)

Table 2. The effect of *F. parviflora* methanol extract on the viability of Hep3B and HepG2 cells *Çizelge 2. F. parviflora methanol özütününHep3B ve HepG2 hücrelerinin canlılığı üzerindeki etkisi*

| Concentrations | Cell viability $\% \pm SD$ | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| (μgmL ⁻¹) | Hep3B cells | | | HepG2 cells | | | | |
| | 24h | 48h | 72h | 24h | 48h | 72h | | |
| Control | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | | |
| Solvent control (DMSO) | 97.48 ± 0.035 | 96.19 ± 0.014 | 96.00 ± 0.174 | 96.21±0.036 | 96.02±0.060 | 95.80 ± 0.106 | | |
| Farmorubicin 40 | $0.50 \pm 0.022*$ | 0±0.000* | 0±0.000* | 0±0.000* | 0±0.000* | 0±0.000* | | |
| 25 | $124.39 \pm 0.107 *$ | 96.19 ± 0.078 | $72.90 \pm 0.206 *$ | 108.38 ± 0.253 | 90.17 ± 0.050 | 81.41±0.099* | | |
| 50 | 153.65 ± 0.041 * | 91.61 ± 0.282 | 68.43±0.374* | 103.82 ± 0.045 | $85.42 \pm 0.105^*$ | 75.92 ± 0.248 * | | |
| 75 | $165.04 \pm 0.059 *$ | 89.90 ± 0.035 | $59.49 \pm 0.095 *$ | 99.66 ± 0.059 | 84.26±0.071* | 69.87±0.180* | | |
| 100 | $179.94 \pm 0.035 *$ | 88.69 ± 0.415 | 50.55 ± 0.268 * | 99.32 ± 0.566 | 83.15±0.154* | 67.65 ± 0.064 * | | |
| 150 | 226.28 ± 0.014 * | 88.52 ± 0.353 | 50.09 ± 0.457 * | 98.36 ± 0.081 | 79.01 ± 0.012 * | 64.69 ± 0.061 * | | |
| 200 | 250.67 ± 0.085 * | 86.54 ± 0.048 | $39.38 \pm 0.051 *$ | 94.99 ± 0.326 | 76.91 ± 0.028 * | 64.01 ± 0.219 * | | |
| 250 | $257.15 \pm 0.104*$ | 78.04 ± 0.012 * | 33.65 ± 0.089 * | 91.78 ± 0.034 | $76.09 \pm 0.021 *$ | 53.45 ± 0.075 * | | |

***p<0.05**, All comparisons were made with untreated control cells.

The effect of *F. parviflora* methanol extract on viability and proliferation of HepG2 cells is shown in Table 2. 25 and 50 µgmL⁻¹ concentrations of *F. parviflora* methanol extract slightly increased the viability and proliferation of HepG2 cells after 24 h treatment. The other extract concentrations after 24 h treatment had no significant effects on cell viability and proliferation compared to the control and solvent control (DMSO) group (p<0.05). After 48h treatment, HepG2 cell viability and proliferation decreased by increasing concentration. All the extract concentrations, except for 25 μ gmL⁻¹, decreased cell viability and proliferation significantly in comparison with control and solvent control. All concentrations of *F. parviflora* methanol extract showed the cytotoxic effect on HepG2 cells after 72 h treatment (p<0.05). The cytotoxic effect reached 53.45% with 250 μ gmL⁻¹ extract treatment.

Cytotoxic activity of *F. capreolata* methanol extract

The effects of *F. capreolata* methanol extract on Hep3B cell viability and proliferation is presented in Table 3. After 24 hour treatment, *F. capreolata* methanol extracts concentrations increased the viability and proliferation of Hep3B cells at a very high level in comparison with the control group (p<0.05). The highest cell viability was observed after 25 μ gmL⁻¹

(195.13%). 48 hour treatment of *F. capreolata* methanol extracts also increased cell viability and proliferation. However, *F. capreolata* methanol extract reduced the viability and proliferation of Hep3B cells after 72 h treatment, concentration-dependently. However, this reduction was not statistically significant in comparison with control and solvent control (p<0.05).

Table 3. The effect of *F. capreolata* methanol extract on the viability of Hep3B and HepG2 cells *Çizelge 3. F. capreolata methanol özütünün Hep3B ve HepG2 hücrelerinin canlılığı üzerindeki etkisi*

| Concentrations | | Cell viability % ± SD | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| (μgmL^{-1}) | | Hep3B cells | | | HepG2 cells | | |
| | 24h | 48h | 72h | 24h | 48h | 72h | |
| Control | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | 100 ± 0.00 | |
| Solvent control | 97.76 ± 0.015 | 97.55 ± 0.043 | 96.50 ± 0.005 | 98.30 ± 0.051 | 97.08 ± 0.051 | 96.00 ± 0.258 | |
| (DMSO) | | | | | | | |
| Farmorubicin | $0\pm 0.000*$ | $0\pm 0.000*$ | 0±0.000* | $0\pm 0.000*$ | $0\pm 0.000*$ | 0±0.000* | |
| 40 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | $195.13 \pm 0.20 *$ | $147.54 \pm 0.530 *$ | 98.54 ± 0.196 | 92.09 ± 0.117 | $83.46 \pm 0.109 *$ | 75.95 ± 0.071 * | |
| 50 | $192.70 \pm 0.21 *$ | $146.87 \pm 0.125 *$ | 97.19 ± 0.384 | 91.87 ± 0.043 | $79.40 \pm 0.027 *$ | 73.42 ± 0.115 * | |
| 75 | 174.32 ± 0.05 * | $127.90 \pm 0.175 *$ | 95.68 ± 0.004 | 91.02 ± 0.016 | 76.49±0.003* | 72.91 ± 0.083 * | |
| 100 | 167.83 ± 0.17 * | 105.35 ± 0.287 | 93.02 ± 0.426 | 84.02 ± 0.012 * | $76.19 \pm 0.307 *$ | $71.86 \pm 0.106 *$ | |
| 150 | 167.02 ± 0.06 * | 104.91 ± 0.312 | 88.39 ± 0.266 | 81.32 ± 0.076 * | 73.98±0.113* | 70.24 ± 0.268 * | |
| 200 | 161.62 ± 0.04 * | 102.90 ± 0.021 | 87.61 ± 0.111 | $78.21 \pm 0.419 *$ | $73.20 \pm 0.021 *$ | 66.53 ± 0.210 * | |
| 250 | 155.13±0.0 * | 97.63 ± 0.069 | 86.32 ± 0.041 | 70.71±0.150* | 67.49 ± 0.378 * | 64.14±0.123* | |

***p<0.05**, All comparisons were made with untreated control cells.

F. capreolata methanol extract decreased the HepG2 cell viability in a time and concentration manner. After 24hour treatment, methanol extract reduced cell viability and proliferation significantly in comparison with the control groups. After 48 and 72 hour treatments, all extract concentrations significantly reduced the viability and proliferation of HepG2 cells compared to the control groups (p < 0.05).

Finally, when the cytotoxic effect of F. parviflora and F. capreolata methanol extracts on Hep3B and HepG2 cancer cell lines were compared, it was determined that the cytotoxic effect of F. capreolata methanol extract was more effective than that of F. parviflora methanol extract, concentration- and time-dependent. The cytotoxic effect of F. parviflora methanol extract on Hep3B cells decreased depending on the treatment time and concentration. All extracts exhibited minimal effect. cytotoxic especially athigh concentrations, after 72 hours of treatment and did not affect the viability of Hep3B cells with increasing incubation time (Table 1). However, extract of this plant showed cytotoxic activity after 48 and 72 hours of treatment on HepG2 cells (Table 1). This may be because Hep3B and HepG2 cells have different sensitivities against the compounds that are present in the extract. The cytotoxic effect of F. parviflora methanol extract on HepG2 cells also decreased depending on the treatment time and concentration.

Results from MTT assay revealed that F. capreolata methanol extract significantly increased cell proliferation in Hep3B cells after 24 and 48 hour of treatment. After 72 hour of treatment, methanol extract slightly inhibits the proliferation of Hep3B cells, in a time-and dose-dependent manner. The cytotoxic effect of *F.capreolata* methanol extract on HepG2 cells also decreased depending on the concentration and treatment time (Table 2). Many phytochemicals non-monotonic show а dose/concentration response called a biphasic dose response, depending on the dose and the time of administration. For example, while stimulating cell proliferation at low doses and short time applications, they show a cytotoxic effect in high doses and longer administration times. These phytochemicals that cause biologically opposite effects at different doses and /or durations are called hormetic compounds (Siddiqui et al., 2015, Jodynis-Liebhert and Kujawska, 2020). It can also be described as the induction of multiple cellular functions with different hormetic properties (DNA repair, antioxidant defenses, autophagy or others), whose actions are controlled by the interaction of multiple receptors and signaling pathways to produce an integrated cellular response (Calabrese and Mattson, 2017; Jalal et al., 2021). Hormesis is a synchronized reaction of any living organisms (plants and animals) to self-imposed adversity that influences certain signal-transduction

processes (hormetic) and biological plasticity to correlate endpoint response (Calabrese and Mattson, 2017). This biological plasticity serves as a foundation for adaptation to adversity (Ho and Zhang, 2018). The reason for the increase in proliferation observed in Hep3B cells as a result of the application of F.parviflora and F. capreolata methanol extracts for 24 hours may be the hormetic compounds found in the extracts and showing biphasic dose response. When the cytotoxic effect of F. parviflora and F. capreolata methanol extracts on Hep3B and HepG2 cancer cell lines were compared, it was determined that the cytotoxic effect of F. capreolata methanol extract was more effective than that of F. parviflora methanol extract, concentration-and time-dependent. This difference may have arisen due to different sensitivity of Hep3B and HepG2 cells against phytochemical compounds in methanol extract against different Fumaria plants and some cytotoxic compounds in these extracts.

Previous studies on different Fumaria species suggested that the effects of these plants on cell proliferation vary according to the type of extract and cell type used in the studies. Recently, antiproliferative activity of F. vaillantii hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, methanol and total (water:ethanol (80:20 v/v)) on SKMEL-3 malignant melanoma, MCF-7 human breast adenocarcinoma, and K562 human myelogenous leukemia cells was reported. Researchers suggested this effect occurred by the necrotic activity of extracts (Tabrizi et al., 2016). In contrast, hepatoprotective effects of various Fumaria species were reported previously (Seyed et al., 2007; Rathi et al., 2008; Hussain et al., 2012; Ezzat et al., 2012). It has been shown that F. parviflora can counteract CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity due to its antioxidant activities (Jamshidzadeh and Niknahad, 2006). By blocking the critical control points of apoptosis the in vitro protective effect of F. parviflora on nimesulide induced cell death in primary rat hepatocyte cultures (Triphati et al., 2010). In another study, it was reported that F. parviflora extract possess selective protective effect against hepatotoxicity due to MDME inhibition mediated paracetamol (Gilani et al., 1996). Furthermore, it was suggested F. parviflora ethanol extract prevents leadinduced testicular toxicity in male adult rats and it improves reproductive parameters in lead-treated male rats (Dorostghoal et al., 2013). Also, Bribi et al (2015) reported the in vivo and in vitro antiinflammatory and antinociceptive effects of F. capreolata total alkaloid extract (Bribi et al., 2015). Furthermore, it was reported that the total alkaloid fraction of *F. capreolata* has antioxidant activity and no toxic effect on mice, when orally administered up to 2000 mg mL⁻¹ of the total alkaloid extract (Bribi et al., 2013). Several studies have been conducted on the short-term effects (up to 4 days) of *F. parviflora* for the treatment of different organs. Some previous studies showed the beneficial effects of the *F. parviflora* in the treatment of hepatobiliary system diseases (Nabavizadeh et al., 2009; Adewusi and Afolayan, 2010). On the other hand, the hepatoprotective effects of this plant have been reported by Gilani et al, (1996) and Heidari et al (2004).

CONCLUSION and RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of our study showed that *F. parviflora* and F. capreolata methanol extracts possess antioxidant activity. Furthermore, F. parviflora and F. capreolata methanol extract increased the proliferation of Hep3B and HepG2 cancer cells in 24 hours. They have cytotoxic effect after 48h and 72h treatment. The cytotoxic effects of the extract may differ depending on the cell type. This difference may have arisen due to the different sensitivity of Hep3B and HepG2 cells against different phytochemical and cytotoxic compounds in methanol extracts. We believe that these preliminary results will contribute to more detailed studies to determine the mechanisms by which different Fumaria species elicit their anticancer activities on human cancer cells.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was partly supported by HMKU Scientific Research Project Fund (18.YL.010).

Researchers' Contribution Rate Statement Summary

The authors declare the contribution of the authors is equal.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

REFERENCES

- Acay H, Dündar A, Kaçar S, Baran MF, Keskin C 2021. Investigation of nutritional content, antioxidant, anticancer and antimicrobial activities of *Pleurotus eryngii* (DC. Ex Fr.) Quel, extract obtained by different solvents. KSU J Agric Nat 24(2): 267-277.
- Adewusi EA, Afolayan AJ 2010. A review of natural products with hepatoprotective activity. Journal Medicinal Plants Research 4: 1318-1334.
- Atlas of Living Australia [Internet], Avaible from: https://bie.ala.org.au/species (accessed February 1, 2021).
- Blois MS 1958. Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature 181: 1199-1200.
- Bribi N, Algieri F, Rodriguez-Nogales A, Garrido-Mesa
 J, Vezza T, Maiza F, Utrilla MP, Rodriguez-Cabezas
 ME, Galvez J 2015. Anti-nociceptive and antiinflammatory effects of total alkaloid extract from *Fumaria capreolata*. Evidence Based

Complementary and Alternative Medicine 736895: 1-8.

- Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C 1995. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. Lebensm.-Wiss. u.-Technol 28: 25-30.
- Bribi N, Yavine B, Fadila MB 2013. Evaluation of erythrocytes toxicity and antioxidant activity of alkaloids of *Fumaria capreolata*. Int J Pharma and Bio Sci 4: 770-776.
- Calabrese EJ, Mattson MP 2017. How does hormesis impact biology, toxicology, and medicine? NPJ Aging Mech Dis 3 (1): 1-8.
- Chaves N, Santiago A, Alias JC 2020. Quantification of the antioxidant activity of plant extracts: analysis of sensitivity and hierarchization based on the method used. Antioxidants (Basel) 9: 76.
- Cullen J 1965. Flora of Turkey and East Aegean Islands. (Ed. Davis, PH. Edinburgh University Press.
- Dorostghoal M, Seyyednejad SM, Jabari A 2013. Protective effects of *Fumaria parviflora* L. on leadinduced testicular toxicity in male rats. Andrologia 46: 437-446.
- Duthie GG, Duthie SJ, Kyle JAM 2000. Plant polyphenols in cancer and heart disease: Implications as nutritional antioxidants. Nutr Res Rev 13:79-106.
- Ezzat M, Kian A, Bagher M, Seyedeh ZOS 2012. Protective activity of *Fumaria vaillantii* Loisel and mono methyl fumrate on acetoaminophen induced hepatotoxicity in mice. Int J Pharmacology 8: 177-184.
- Fafal T, Önür MA 2007. Determination of protopine in *Fumaria densiflora* DC. by TLC- densitometric and spectrophotometric method. Journal of Faculty of Pharmacy 4: 223 235.
- Gao Y, Su Y, Qu L, Xu S, Meng L, Cai SQ, Shou C 2011. Mitochondrial apoptosis contributes to the anticancer effect of *Smilax glabra Roxb*. Toxicol Lett 207:112-120.
- Ghafoor K, Choi YH 2009. Optimization of ultrasoundassisted extraction of phenolic compounds and antioxidants from grape peels through response surface methodology. Journal of Korean Society for Applied Biological Chemistry 52: 295-300.
- Gilani AH, Janbaz KH, Akhtar MS 1996. Selective protective effect of an extract from *Fumaria parviflora* on paracetamol-induced hepatotoxicity. Gen Pharmacol 27: 979-983.
- Güzel Y, Güzelşemme M, Miski M 2015. Ethnobotany of medicinal plants used in Antakya: a multicultural district in Hatay Province of Turkey. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 174: 118-152.
- Heidari RM, Mandgary A, Enayati M 2004. Antinociceptive effects and toxicity of *Fumaria parviflora* Lam. in mice and rats. Daru Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 12: 136-140.

- Ho WC, Zhang J 2018. Evolutionary adaptations to new environments generally reverse plastic phenotypic changes. Nat Commun 9 (1): 1-11.
- Humayun S, Ibrar M, Barkatullah AI 2012. Comparison of three extracts of *Fumaria indica* for the evaluation of cytotoxic and phytotoxic activities. Int J Biosci 2: 112-119.
- Hussain T, Hefazat HS, Sheeba F, Sweety K, Vijayakumar M, Rao CV 2012. Chemopreventive effect of *Fumaria indica* that modulates the oxidant antioxidant inbalance during Nnitrosodiethylamine and CCl4-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in Wistar rats. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 2: 995-1001.
- Jalal A, de Oliveira Junior JC, Ribeiro JS et al 2021. Hormesis in plants: Physiological and biochemical responses. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 207: 111225.
- Jameel M, Ali A, Ali M 2014. New phytoconstituents from the aerial parts of *Fumaria parviflora* Lam. J Adv Pharm Technol Res 5: 64-69.
- Jamshidzadeh A, Niknahad H 2006. Hepatoprotective effects of *Fumaria parviflora* L. on CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity. J Med Plants 19: 34-39.
- Jodynis-Liebhert J, Kujawska M 2020. Biphasic doseresponse induced by phytochemicals: Experimental evidence. Journal of Clinical Medicine 9: 718.
- Kumar S, Kamboj A, Sharma AK 2018. Antioxidant evaluation of ethanolic extract of *Fumaria parviflora* Lam. obtained from root, stem, leaf and fruit and measurement of their total phenols and flavonoids. The Pharma Innovation Journal 7: 577-579.
- Kumar S, Kamboj A, Sharma AK 2018. Pharmacognostic Standardization of roots, stems, leaves and fruits of *Fumaria parviflora* Lam. (Fumariaceae). Asian Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 4: 238-244.
- Li AN, Li S, Zhang YJ, Xu XR, Chen YM, Li HB 2014. Resources and biological activities of natural polyphenols. Nutrients 6: 6020-6047.
- Maiza-Benbdesselam F, Khentache S, Bougoffa K, Chibane M, Adach S, Chapeleur Y, Max H, Laurain-Mattar D 2007. Antioxidant activities of alkaloid extracts of two Algerian species of *Fumaria* : *Fumaria capreolata* and *Fumaria bastardii*. Rec. Natural Prod 1: 28-35.
- Moghaddam M, Khaleghi Miran SN, Mehdizadeh L 2018. Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of *Fumaria vaillantii* extract at three phenological stages assayed by various methods. International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology 5: 93-102.
- Mossman T 1983. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. Journal of Immunological Methods 65: 55-63.

- Nabavizadeh SH, Majid OB, Anushiravani A 2009. Direct *ex vivo* herbal extracts on serum bilirubin in neonatal blood samples. African Journal Biochemistry Research 3: 226-228.
- Nemzer BV, Yashin YA, Vedenin AN, Yashin YI, Yashunsky DV, Nifantiev NE, Kalta D 2019. Selected powerful natural antioxidants: Structure, food sources, antioxidant activities and important health benefits. J Food Res 8: 60-79.
- Paltinean R, Toiu A, Wauters JN, Frederich M, Tits M, Angenot L, Tamas M, Crisan G 2013. Identification and determination of alkaloids in species from *Fumaria* species from Romania. Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures 8: 817-824.
- Qui GH, Xie X, Xu F, Shi X, Wang Y, Deng L 2015. Distinctive pharmacological differences between liver cancer cell lines HepG2 and Hep3B. Cytotechnology 67:1-12.
- Rathi A, Srivastava A, Shirwaikar A, Rawat A 2008. Hepatoprotective potential of *Fumaria indica* Pugsley whole plant extracts, fractions and an isolated alkaloid protopine. Phytomedicine 15: 470-477.
- Raza A, Sood GK 2014. Hepatocellular carcinoma review: current treatment, and evidence-based medicine. World J Gastroenterol 21: 4115-27.
- Seyed RM, Nassiri-Asl M, Farahani-Nick Z, Savad S, Seyed KF 2007. Protective effects of *Fumaria vaillantii* extract on carbon tetrachloride induced

hepatotoxicity in rats. Pharmacologyonline 3: 385-393.

- Sheldon JW, Balick MJ, Laird SA 1997. Medicinal plants: can utilization and conservation coexist? Advances in economic botany, The New York Botanical Garden. New York, Bronx.
- Siddiqui S, Ahmad E, Gupta M, Rawat V, Shivnath N, Banerjee M, Khan MS, Arshad M 2015. Cissus quadrangularis Linn exerts dose-dependent biphasic effects: osteogenic and anti-proliferative, through modulating ROS, cell cycle and Runx2 gene expression in primary rat osteoblasts. Cell Proliferation 48: 443-454.
- Tabrizi FHA, Irian S, Amanzadeh A, Heidarnejad F, Gudarzi H, Salimi M 2016. Anti-proliferative activity of *Fumaria vaillantii* extracts on different cancer cell lines. Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences 11: 152-159.
- Triphati M, Singh BK, Mishra C, Raisuddin S, Kakkar P 2010. Involvement of mitochondria mediated pathways in hepatoprotection conferred by *Fumaria parviflora* Lam. extract against nimesulide induced apoptosis *in vitro*. Toxicology In Vitro 24: 495-508.
- Zhang R, Guo Q, Kennelly EJ, Long C, Chai X 2020. Diverse alkaloids and biological activities of *Fumaria* (Papaveraceae): An ethnomedicinal group. Fitoterapia 146: 104697.