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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give construction of quantum codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ from $(1-2 w-2 u v)$ - constacyclic codes over the $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $u^{2}=u, v^{2}=v, w^{2}=w, u v=v u, u w=w u=v w=w v=0$, $q=p^{m}, m$ is a positive integer and $p$ is an odd prime. We determine the parameters of quantum error correcting codes which constructed from $(1-2 w-2 u v)$ - constacyclic codes over the $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+$ $w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$.
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q} \text { Halkası Üzerindeki }(1-2 w-2 u v) \text {-Sabit Devirli Kodlardan Elde } \\
\text { Edilen Kuantum Kodlar }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Öz

Bu çalışmada, $u^{2}=u, v^{2}=v, w^{2}=w, u v=v u, u w=w u=v w=w v=0, q=p^{m}, m$ pozitif tam sayı ve $p$ tek asal sayı olmak üzere $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$ halkası üzerindeki ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ )-sabit devirli kodlardan $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ üzerindeki kuantum kodların inşası verilmiştir. Ayrıca, $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$ halkası üzerindeki $(1-2 w-2 u v)$-sabit devirli kodlardan elde edilen hata düzeltici kuantum kodların parametreleri belirlenmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: kuantum kod, sabit devirli kod, Gray dönüşümü

## 1. Introduction

At the beginning of the twentieth century, people believed that Newton and Maxwell's laws of physics were true. By the 1930's, however, it had become apparent that these classical theories faced serious problems in trying to account for the observed results of certain experiments. As a result, a new mathematical framework for physics called quantum mechanics was formulated, and new theories of physics called quantum physics were developed in this framework (Kaye et al., 2006).

[^0]Quantum information processing is the result of using the physical reality that quantum theory tells us about for the purposes of performing tasks that were previously thought impossible or infeasible. Devices that perform quantum information processing are known as quantum computers (Kaye et al., 2006). Quantum computers have a great deal of potential, but to realize that potential, they need some sort of protection from noise. Classical computers do not use error correction. One reason for this is that classical computers use a large number of electrons, so when one goes wrong, it is not too serious. A single qubit in a quantum computer will probably be just one or a small number of particles, which already creates a need for some sort of correction. Classical information can not travel faster than light, while quantum information appears to in some circumstances. Classical information can be duplicated, while quantum information can not. Also, quantum computers use probabilities, unlike classical computers. These probabilities enable more complex structures to be resolved with faster processing power. This fast processing power is provided by performing many operations at the same time. Quantum computers need subatomic particles to perform many operations simultaneously. Quantum error correcting codes provide an efficent way to overcome decoherence. Therefore, quantum information is more convenient than classical information.

The first quantum error correcting code was found by (Shor, 1995). Calderbank et al. (1998), gave a method to construct quantum error correcting codes from classical error correcting codes. The name given to this method is CSS construction. From then on, the construction of quantum error correcting codes from different types of classical codes has studied.

Many quantum error correcting codes have been constructed by constacyclic codes over many finite rings (Li et al., 2018; Gao and Wang, 2018; Islam and Parakash, 2020).

In this paper, motivated by the previous works (Gao and Wang, 2018), (Li et al., 2018), (Islam and Prakash, 2020), (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020), we study the quantum codes which are obtained from (1-2w-2uv)-constacyclic codes over the finite ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+$ $w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The commutative ring $R=\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $u^{2}=u, v^{2}=v, w^{2}=$ $w, u v=v u, u w=w u=v w=w v=0$ introduced, where $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is a finite field with $q$ elements, $q=p^{m}, m$ is a positive integer and $p$ is an odd prime (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020). Please see (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020) for more details on this ring.

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{1}=u-u v \\
\varepsilon_{2}=1-u-v-w+u v \\
\varepsilon_{3}=v-u v \\
\varepsilon_{4}=u v
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{5}=w
$$

are elements in $R$. It can be easily seen that $\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)^{2}=\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{i} . \varepsilon_{j}=0$ and $\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}+\varepsilon_{4}+$ $\varepsilon_{5}=1$, where $i, j=1,2,3,4,5$ and $i \neq j$. They had $R=\varepsilon_{1} R \oplus \varepsilon_{2} R \oplus \varepsilon_{3} R \oplus \varepsilon_{4} R \oplus \varepsilon_{5} R$. By calculation, they obtained that $\varepsilon_{i} R \cong \varepsilon_{i} \mathbb{F}_{q}, i=1,2,3,4,5$. Therefore, for any $r \in R, r$ can be expressed uniquely as $r=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}$, where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ for $i=1,2,3,4,5$ (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020).

A non-empty subset $C$ of $R^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}\right)$ is called a linear code of length $n$ over $R\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ if $C$ is an $R$-submodule of $R^{n}$ ( a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ ). An element of $C$ is called a codeword. Let $\sigma, \gamma, \tau$ be maps from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)=\left(c_{n-1}, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right) \\
& \gamma\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)=\left(-c_{n-1}, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tau\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)=\left((1-2 w-2 u v) c_{n-1}, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right)
$$

respectively. Then $C$ is called to be cyclic if $\sigma(C)=C$, negacyclic if $\gamma(C)=C$ and (1$2 w-2 u v$ )-constacyclic if $\tau(C)=C$.

The Hamming weight $w_{H}(x)$ of a codeword $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is the number of nonzero components. The minimum weight $w_{H}(C)$ of a code $C$ is the smallest weight among all its nonzero codewords. For $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}, d_{H}(x, y)=$ $\left|\left\{i: x_{i} \neq y_{i}\right\}\right|$ is called the Hamming distance between $x$ and $y$. Moreover,

$$
d_{H}(x, y)=w_{H}(x-y) .
$$

The minimum Hamming distance between different codewords of a code $C$ is called the minimum distance of $C$ and denoted by $d_{H}(C)$ or shortly $d_{H}$.

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be any two elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. Then the Euclidean inner product of $x$ and $y$ is defined as

$$
x \cdot y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} .
$$

The dual code of $C$ is defined as

$$
C^{\perp}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: x \cdot y=0, \text { for all } y \in C\right\} .
$$

A code $C$ is called self-orthogonal if $C \subseteq C^{\perp}$ and self dual if $C=C^{\perp}$.

Now, recall the definition of the Gray map which was defined by Mohan and Durairajan (2020) as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{5} \\
\delta\left(a_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+a_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+a_{3} \varepsilon_{3}+a_{4} \varepsilon_{4}+a_{5} \varepsilon_{5}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Equivalently, if $r=a_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u a_{2}{ }^{\prime}+v a_{3}{ }^{\prime}+w a_{4}{ }^{\prime}+u v a_{5}{ }^{\prime} \in R$, then

$$
\delta(r)=\left(a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime}+a_{5}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{4}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

This map can be extended naturally to the case over $R^{n}$.
For any element $r=a_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+a_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+a_{3} \varepsilon_{3}+a_{4} \varepsilon_{4}+a_{5} \varepsilon_{5} \in R$, define the Lee weight of $r$ as $w_{L}(r)=w_{H}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right)$, where $w_{H}$ denotes the ordinary Hamming weight for codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

The Lee distance between $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$ is defined by

$$
d_{L}(x, y)=w_{L}(x-y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{L}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) .
$$

The minimum Lee distance between different codewords of a code $C$ is called the minimum distance of $C$ and denoted by $d_{L}(C)$ or shortly $d_{L}$.

Theorem 2.1.The Gray map $\delta$ is a distance-preserving map or isometry from $R^{n}$ (Lee distance) to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{5 n}$ (Hamming distance) and it is also $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020).

Theorem 2.2. Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$ with $|C|=M$ and Lee distance $d_{L}(C)=d$. Then $\delta(C)$ is a $q$-ary linear code with parameter $(5 n, M, d)$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, we see that $\delta(C)$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear, which implies that $\delta(C)$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear code. By definition of the Gray map $\delta, \delta(C)$ is of length $5 n$. Moreover one can check that $\delta$ is bijective map from $R^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{5 n}$, which implies that $|C|=|\delta(C)|$. Finally, the property of preserving distance of $\delta$ leads to $\delta(C)$ having the minimum Hamming distance $d$.

## 3. Results and Disscussion

## ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ )-Constacyclic Codes over $R$

Let

$$
A_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{5}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right): a_{i} \in A_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5\right\}
$$

and

$$
A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{5}=\left\{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}+a_{5}: a_{i} \in A_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5\right\} .
$$

For a linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $R$, define

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \in C, \\
\text { for some } a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
\end{array}\right\} \\
C_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \in C, \\
\text { for some } a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& C_{3}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a_{3} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \in C, \\
\text { for some } a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& C_{4}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a_{4} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \in C, \\
\text { for some } a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{5} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& C_{5}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { a } \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \in C, \\
\text { for some } a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $C_{i}$ is a linear code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for each $i=1,2,3,4,5$. Moreover,

$$
C=\varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $\delta(C)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{5} C_{i},|C|=\prod_{i=1}^{5}\left|C_{i}\right|$ and $d_{L}(C)=\min \left\{d_{H}\left(C_{i}\right), i=1,2,3,4,5\right\}$ (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020).

A generator matrix of $C$ is a matrix whose rows generate $C$. Two codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates. If $G_{i}$ are the generator matrices of $q$-ary linear codes $C_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$, respectively, then the generator matrix of $C$ is

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{1} G_{1} \\
\varepsilon_{2} G_{2} \\
\varepsilon_{3} G_{3} \\
\varepsilon_{2} G_{4} \\
\varepsilon_{5} G_{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the generator matrix of $\delta(C)$ is

$$
\delta(G)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\delta\left(\varepsilon_{1} G_{1}\right) \\
\delta\left(\varepsilon_{2} G_{2}\right) \\
\delta\left(\varepsilon_{3} G_{3}\right) \\
\delta\left(\varepsilon_{4} G_{4}\right) \\
\delta\left(\varepsilon_{5} G_{5}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $\delta\left(C^{\perp}\right)=(\delta(C))^{\perp}$ (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020).

Theorem 3.3. Let $C$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $C$ is self-orthogonal, so is $\delta(C)$ (Mohan and Durairajan, 2020).

Theorem 3.4. Let $C=\varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}$ be a linear code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $C$ is a $(1-2 w-2 u v)$-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $R$ if and only if $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ are cyclic codes and $C_{4}, C_{5}$ are negacyclic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{i}=\varepsilon_{1} a_{i}+\varepsilon_{2} b_{i}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{i}+\varepsilon_{4} d_{i}+\varepsilon_{5} e_{i}$, for any $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in C_{1}, b=$ $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in C_{2}, c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in C_{3}, d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in C_{4}, e=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in$ $C_{5}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in C$. If $C$ is a ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ )-constacyclic code over $R$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau(\alpha)=\left((1-2 w-2 u v) \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right) \\
=\left((1-2 w-2 u v)\left(\varepsilon_{1} a_{n}+\varepsilon_{2} b_{n}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{n}+\varepsilon_{4} d_{n}+\varepsilon_{5} e_{n}\right), \varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} b_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{1}+\varepsilon_{4} d_{1}\right. \\
\left.+\varepsilon_{5} e_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{1} a_{n-1}+\varepsilon_{2} b_{n-1}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{n-1}+\varepsilon_{4} d_{n-1}+\varepsilon_{5} e_{n-1}\right) \\
=\left((u-u v) a_{n}+(1-u-v-w+u v) b_{n}+(v-u v) c_{n}-u v d_{n}-w e_{n},(u-u v) a_{1}\right. \\
+(1-u-v-w+u v) b_{1}+(v-u v) c_{1}+u v d_{1}+w e_{1}, \ldots,(u-u v) a_{n-1} \\
\left.+(1-u-v-w+u v) b_{n-1}+(v-u v) c_{n-1}+u v d_{n-1}+w e_{n-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ are cyclic codes and $C_{4}, C_{5}$ are negacyclic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
On the other hand, let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right), c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right), d=$ $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right), e=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$, for any $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in C$, where $\alpha_{i}=\varepsilon_{1} a_{i}+$ $\varepsilon_{2} b_{i}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{i}+\varepsilon_{4} d_{i}+\varepsilon_{5} e_{i}$ and $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}, e_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $a \in C_{1}, b \in C_{2}, c \in$ $C_{3}, d \in C_{4}, e \in C_{5}$. If $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ are cyclic codes and $C_{4}, C_{5}$ are negacyclic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $\sigma(a) \in C_{1}, \sigma(b) \in C_{2}, \sigma(c) \in C_{3}, \gamma(d) \in C_{4}, \gamma(e) \in C_{5}$. Hence ( $u-$ $u v) \sigma(a)+(1-u-v-w+u v) \sigma(b)+(v-u v) \sigma(c)+u v \gamma(d)+w \gamma(e) \in C$. However, $\tau(\alpha)=(u-u v) \sigma(a)+(1-u-v-w+u v) \sigma(b)+(v-u v) \sigma(c)+u v \gamma(d)+w \gamma(e) \in$ $C$, which implies that $\tau(\alpha) \in C$.

Therefore $C$ is a $(1-2 w-2 u v)$-constacyclic code over $R$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $C$ be a $(1-2 w-2 u v)$-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $C=\left\langle\varepsilon_{1} g_{1}(x), \varepsilon_{2} g_{2}(x), \varepsilon_{3} g_{3}(x), \varepsilon_{4} g_{4}(x), \varepsilon_{5} g_{5}(x)\right\rangle$, where $g_{i}(x)$ are the generator polynomials of $C_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$, respectively. Moreover, $C^{\perp}=\varepsilon_{1} C_{1}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3}^{\perp} \oplus$ $\varepsilon_{4} C_{4}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}^{\perp}$ is also a ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ )-constacyclic code over $R$ and

$$
C^{\perp}=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{5} \varepsilon_{i} h_{i}^{*}(x)\right\rangle
$$

where $h_{i}^{*}(x), i=1,2,3,4,5$, are the reciprocal polynomials of $\frac{x^{n}-1}{g_{1}(x)}, \frac{x^{n}-1}{g_{2}(x)}, \frac{x^{n}-1}{g_{3}(x)}, \frac{x^{n}+1}{g_{4}(x)}$ and $\frac{x^{n}+1}{g_{5}(x)}$, respectively.

## Quantum Codes from ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ )-Constacyclic Codes over $R$

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space of $q$ dimension over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Define $H^{\otimes n}$ to be $n$ fold tensor product of the Hilbert space $H$, that is, $H^{\otimes n}=H \otimes H \otimes \cdots \otimes H$ (n-times). Then $H^{\otimes n}$ is a Hilbert space of $q^{n}$ dimension. A quantum code having the length $n$ and the dimension $t$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined to be the Hilbert subspace of $H^{\otimes n}$. A quantum code with length $n$, dimension $t$ and minimum distance $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is denoted by $[[n, t, d]]_{q}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $C$ be a cyclic or negacyclic code with the generator polynomial $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then $C$ contains its dual code if and only if

$$
x^{n}-\kappa \equiv 0\left(\operatorname{modg}(x) g^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $\kappa= \pm 1$ (Li et al., 2018).
Theorem 4.2. Let $C=\left\langle\varepsilon_{1} g_{1}(x)+\varepsilon_{2} g_{2}(x)+\varepsilon_{3} g_{3}(x)+\varepsilon_{4} g_{4}(x)+\varepsilon_{5} g_{5}(x)\right\rangle$ be a $(1-2 w-$ $2 u v$ )-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$ if and only if

$$
x^{n}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod _{t}(x) g_{t}^{*}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
x^{n}+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod g_{j}(x) g_{j}^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $t=1,2,3$ and $j=4,5$.
Proof. Let $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ be a $(1-2 w-2 u v)$-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $R$, where $g(x)=\varepsilon_{1} g_{1}(x)+\varepsilon_{2} g_{2}(x)+\varepsilon_{3} g_{3}(x)+\varepsilon_{4} g_{4}(x)+\varepsilon_{5} g_{5}(x)$. Then $\quad C=\varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus$ $\varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}$, where $C_{i}=\left\langle g_{i}(x)\right\rangle, i=1,2,3,4,5$. If

$$
x^{n}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod _{t}(x) g_{t}^{*}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
x^{n}+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod g_{j}(x) g_{j}^{*}(x)\right)
$$

then $C_{t}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{t}$ and $C_{j}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{j}$, where $t=1,2,3$ and $j=4,5$. Therefore,

$$
\varepsilon_{t} C_{t}^{\perp} \subseteq \varepsilon_{t} C_{t}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{j} C_{j}^{\perp} \subseteq \varepsilon_{j} C_{j}
$$

which implies that

$$
\varepsilon_{1} C_{1}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}^{\perp} \subseteq \varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\langle\varepsilon_{1} h_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2} h_{2}^{*}+\varepsilon_{3} h_{3}^{*}+\varepsilon_{4} h_{4}^{*}+\varepsilon_{5} h_{5}^{*}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle\varepsilon_{1} g_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} g_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} g_{3}+\varepsilon_{4} g_{4}+\varepsilon_{5} g_{5}\right\rangle
$$

Therefore, $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$.
Conversely, if $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, then

$$
\varepsilon_{1} C_{1}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4}^{\perp} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}^{\perp} \subseteq \varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}
$$

which implies that $C_{t}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{t}$ and $C_{j}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{j}$, where $t=1,2,3$ and $j=4,5$. Therefore,

$$
x^{n}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod _{t}(x) g_{t}^{*}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
x^{n}+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod g_{j}(x) g_{j}^{*}(x)\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.2, we have the following corollary directly.
Corollary 4.3. Let $C=\varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5} \quad$ be $\quad$ a $\quad(1-2 w-2 u v)$ constacyclic code of length $n$ over $R$. Then $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$ if and only if $C_{i}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$.

Theorem 4.4. (CSS Construction) Let $C$ be a linear code with parameters $[n, k, d]$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. If $C^{\perp} \subset C$, then an $[[n, 2 k-n, \geq d]]$ quantum code can be obtained (Li et al., 2018).

By Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, the quantum codes can be constructed as follows.

Theorem $\quad$ 4.5. Let $\quad C=\varepsilon_{1} C_{1} \oplus \varepsilon_{2} C_{2} \oplus \varepsilon_{3} C_{3} \oplus \varepsilon_{4} C_{4} \oplus \varepsilon_{5} C_{5}=\left\langle\varepsilon_{1} g_{1}(x)+\varepsilon_{2} g_{2}(x)+\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{3} g_{3}(x)+\varepsilon_{4} g_{4}(x)+\varepsilon_{5} g_{5}(x)\right\rangle$ be a ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ )-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $R$, where $g_{i}(x), i=1,2,3,4,5$, are the generator polynomials of $C_{i}$, respectively. If $C_{i}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{i}$, then $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$ and there exists a quantum error-correcting code with parameters [ $[5 n, 2 k-$ $\left.\left.5 n, \geq d_{L}\right]\right]$, where $d_{L}$ is the minimum Lee distance of the code $C$ and $k$ is the dimension of the linear code $\delta(C)$.

Example 4.6. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{5}+u \mathbb{F}_{5}+v \mathbb{F}_{5}+w \mathbb{F}_{5}+u v \mathbb{F}_{5}$ and $n=19$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{19}-1=(x-4)\left(x^{9}+3 x^{7}+2 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+4 x+4\right)\left(x^{9}+x^{8}+3 x^{7}\right. \\
\left.+x^{6}+3 x^{5}+3 x^{4}+3 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+4\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{5}[x]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{19}+1=(x+1)\left(x^{9}+3 x^{7}+3 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+3 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+4 x+1\right)\left(x^{9}+4 x^{8}+3 x^{7}\right. \\
&\left.+4 x^{6}+3 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+3 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+1\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{5}[x] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\quad g(x)=\varepsilon_{1}\left(x^{9}+3 x^{7}+2 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+4 x+4\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(x^{9}+3 x^{7}+\right.$ $\left.2 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+4 x+4\right)+\varepsilon_{3}\left(x^{9}+3 x^{7}+2 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+\right.$ $\left.2 x^{2}+4 x+4\right)+\varepsilon_{4}\left(x^{9}+3 x^{7}+3 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+3 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+4 x+1\right)+\varepsilon_{5}\left(x^{9}+\right.$ $\left.3 x^{7}+3 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+3 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+4 x+1\right)$ be the generator polynomial of $C$. Since $g_{t}(x) g_{t}^{*}(x)$ divides $x^{19}-1$ and $g_{j}(x) g_{j}^{*}(x)$ divides $x^{19}+1$, where $t=1,2,3$ and $j=4,5$, then by Theorem 4.2 , we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Also, $\delta(C)$ is a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{5}$ with parameters $[95,50,7]$. Now, using Theorem 4.5, we get a quantum code with parameters $[[95,5, \geq 7]]$.

Example 4.7. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{11}+u \mathbb{F}_{11}+v \mathbb{F}_{11}+w \mathbb{F}_{11}+u v \mathbb{F}_{11}$ and $n=15$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{15}-1=(x+2)(x+6)(x+7)(x+8)(x+10)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{2}+3 x+9\right)\left(x^{2}+4 x\right. \\
+5)\left(x^{2}+5 x+3\right)\left(x^{2}+9 x+4\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{11}[x]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{15}+1=(x+1)(x+3)(x+4)(x+5)(x+9)\left(x^{2}+2 x+4\right)\left(x^{2}+6 x+3\right)\left(x^{2}+7 x\right. \\
+5)\left(x^{2}+8 x+9\right)\left(x^{2}+10 x+1\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{11}[x] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $g(x)=\varepsilon_{1}\left(x^{2}+4 x+5\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(x^{2}+9 x+4\right)+\varepsilon_{3}\left(x^{2}+10 x+5\right)+\varepsilon_{4}\left(x^{2}+7 x+5\right)+$ $\varepsilon_{5}\left(x^{2}+6 x+3\right)$ be the generator polynomial of $C$. Since $g_{t}(x) g_{t}^{*}(x)$ divides $x^{15}-1$ and $g_{j}(x) g_{j}^{*}(x)$ divides $x^{15}+1$, where $t=1,2,3$ and $j=4,5$, then by Theorem 4.2, we have $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Also, $\delta(C)$ is a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ with parameters [75,65,3]. Now, using Theorem 4.5, we get a quantum code with parameters $[[75,55, \geq 3]]$.

## 4. Conclusion:

In this paper, we have obtained quantum codes from ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ ) -constacyclic codes over $R=\mathbb{F}_{q}+u \mathbb{F}_{q}+v \mathbb{F}_{q}+w \mathbb{F}_{q}+u v \mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $u^{2}=u, v^{2}=v, w^{2}=w, u v=v u, u w=$ $w u=v w=w v=0, q=p^{m}$ and $p$ is an odd prime. We have the parameters of quantum codes which are obtained from ( $1-2 w-2 u v$ ) -constacyclic codes over $R$.
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