

New Records for the Turkish Freshwater Algal Flora in Twenty Five River Basins of Türkiye, Part I: Bacillariophyta

Tolga COŞKUN¹⁶⁰, Abuzer ÇELEKLİ², Cüneyt Nadir SOLAK³, Tuğba ONGUN SEVİNDİK⁴, Nilsun DEMİR⁵, Elif Neyran SOYLU⁶, Haşim SÖMEK⁷, Tolga ÇETİN⁸, Yakup KARAASLAN⁹, Burak ÖTERLER¹⁰, Faruk MARASLIOĞLU¹¹, Elif YILMAZ¹², Hatice TUNCA¹³, Uğur GÜZEL¹⁴, Merve KOCA¹⁵, Bengü TEMİZEL¹⁶ ^{1, 5, 15}Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture, Fisheries and Aquaculture Engineering, 06120, Ankara, Türkiye, ²Gaziantep University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Biology, 27310, Gaziantep, Türkiye, ^{3, 12}Dumlupinar University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Biology, 43100, Kütahya, Türkiye, ^{4, 13, 14}Sakarya University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Biology, 54050, Sakarya, Türkiye, ^{6, 16} Giresun University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Biology, 28200, Giresun, Türkiye, ⁷Izmir Katip Çelebi University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Inland Water Biology, 35620, İzmir, Türkiye, 8, 9 T.R. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Directorate General of Water Management, 06560, Ankara, Türkiye, ¹⁰ Trakya University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, 22030, Edirne, Türkiye, ¹¹Hitit University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Biology, 19040, Corum, Türkiye ¹https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5732-7424, ²https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2448-4957, ³https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2334-4271 ⁴https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7682-0142, ⁵https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3895-7655, ⁶https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7583-3416 ⁷https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4281-9738, ⁸https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7817-3222, ⁹https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8993-4771 ¹⁰https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9064-1666, ¹¹https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7784-9243, ¹²https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7814-3429 $^{13} https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3724-5215, \ ^{14} https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1358-3519, \ ^{15} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2538-9388, \ ^{13} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2538-9388, \ ^{13} https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3724-5215, \ ^{14} https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1358-3519, \ ^{15} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2538-9388, \ ^{15} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2538-938, \ ^{15} https://orcid.org/000-000-000-2538, \ ^{15} https://orcid.org/000$ ¹⁶https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5217-3013 ⊠: tolga.coskun@yahoo.com.tr

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to contribute to the algal flora of Türkiye by Bacillariophyta (diatom) as new records for the Turkish freshwater. Phytobenthos and phytoplankton were sampled three times (spring, summer, and autumn) a year between 2017 and 2019. Samples of the phytoplankton were collected with a water sampler from three depths, and samples of diatoms were obtained as epiphytic in the littoral zone of the lakes. However, if macrophytes were absent, epilithic or epipelic diatoms were sampled in lakes. Also, samples of phytobenthos were preferred as epilithic in rivers. However, if stones were absent, epiphytic or epipelic diatoms were sampled. During the studied period, a total of 895 diatom taxa were determined as planktonic (378 taxa) and benthic (860 taxa) in lakes and rivers of 25 river basins of Türkiye, and a total of 39 new records were identified. The highest diatom taxa were determined in the Fırat-Dicle, Konya, Antalya, and Büyük Menderes basins with 11, 11, 10, and 6 taxa, respectively. On the other hand, new records were not detected in 10 basins.

Biology

Research Article

Article Histor	У
Received	: 17.01.2022
Accepted	: 26.07.2022

Keywords

Bacillariophyta Freshwater Algae New Records Türkiye

Türkiye'deki 25 Nehir Havzasından Türkiye Tatlı Su Alg Florası İçin Yeni Kayıtlar, Bölüm I: Bacillariophyta

ÖZET

Bu çalışma, Türkiye'nin tatlı su alg florası için Bacillariophyta (diatom) ait yeni kayıt olarak katkıda bulunmayı amaçlanmıştır. Fitobentoz ve fitoplankton örneklemeleri, 2017 ve 2019 yılları arasında yılda üç kez (ilkbahar, yaz ve sonbahar) yapıldı. Göllerdeki Fitoplanktonun örneklemesi, üç derinlikten su örnekleyici ile toplanırken, diatom örnekleri göllerin littoral bölgesinde epifitik olarak elde edildi. Bununla birlikte, makrofitin bulunmadığı göllerde diatom örneklemeleri epilitik ve epipelik olarak yapıldı. Ayrıca, nehirlerdeki fitobentoz örneklemesi epilitik olarak yapılırken, taşın bulunmadığı nehirlerde epifitik veya epipelik olarak örneklenmiştir. Çalışma süresince, Türkiye'nin 25 nehir havzasına ait göl ve nehirlerde planktonik (378 takson) ve bentik (860 takson) olmak üzere toplam 895 belirlenmiş ve toplam 39 yeni kayıt teşhis edilmiştir. En yüksek diatom taksonlarının sırasıyla 11, 11, 10 ve 6 taksonla; Fırat-Dicle, Konya, Antalya ve Büyük Menderes havzalarında belirlenmiştir. Bununla birlikte, 10 havzada yeni kayıta rastlanılmamıştır.

Biyoloji

Araştırma Makalesi

Makale TarihçesiGeliş Tarihi: 17.01.2022Kabul Tarihi: 26.07.2022

Anahtar Kelimeler

Bacillaryophyta Tatlısu Yosun Yeni Kayıtlar Türkiye

Atıf Şekli:	Coşkun, T., Çelekli, A., Solak, C.N., Ongun Sevindik, T., Demir, N., Soylu, E. N., Sömek, H., Çetin, T.,
-	Karaaslan, Y., Öterler, B., Maraşlıoğlu, F., Yilmaz, E., Tunca, H., Güzel, U., Koca, M. & Temizel, B. 2023. New
	Records for the Turkish Freshwater Algal Flora in Twenty Five River Basins of Türkiye, Part I:
	Bacillariophyta. KSÜ Tarım ve Doğa Derg 26(5), 991-1004. https://doi.org/10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi. 1058856
To Cite :	Coşkun, T., Çelekli, A., Solak, C.N., Ongun Sevindik, T., Demir, N., Soylu, E. N., Sömek, H., Çetin, T.,
	Karaaslan, Y., Öterler, B., Maraşlıoğlu, F., Yilmaz, E., Tunca, H., Güzel, U., Koca, M. & Temizel, B. 2023. New
	Records for the Turkish Freshwater Algal Flora in Twenty Five River Basins of Türkiye, Part I: Bacillariophyta
	KSU J. Agric Nat 26 (5), 991-1004. https://doi.org/10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi. 1058856

INTRODUCTION

Diatoms (unicellular siliceous algae, Bacillariophyta) are the most diverse photosynthetic eukaryotic microorganisms that are distributed worldwide in nearly all aquatic ecosystems (Round et al., 1990; Taxböck et al., 2020). Their ecological requirements are very different (Round et al., 1990), and their diversity can alter according to the environmental conditions (Acs et al., 2004). They are the important indicators in studies of climate change, acidic precipitation, water pollution, and water quality (Smol and Stoermer, 2010). For most of the diatom species it was thought that they are widespread, and even cosmopolitan in their spatial distribution (Kristiansen, 1996; Finlay and Clarke, 1999). However, in recent studies, a lot of studies support that they exhibit a biogeography (Bouchard et al., 2004; Vyverman et al., 2007). Diatom diversity can be influenced by several factors such as habitat availability, habitat area, the evolutionary history of lineages and regions, geogenic variables, hydrological stability, dispersal limitation, migration, and the cumulative effects of stochastic variation (Hubbell, 2001; Martiny et al., 2006; Cantonati and Lange-Bertalot; 2006, Telford et al., 2006; Cantonati and Spitale, 2009; Karger et al., 2011; Kraft et al., 2011; Lessard et al., 2012; Teittinen et al., 2017). The number of studies should be increased for the better understand of the biogeography of diatoms and the factors affecting their distribution.

In Türkiye, more than 300 taxonomical and ecological studies about diatoms have been reported (Solak et al., 2012; Taşkın et al., 2019). In these studies, planktonic diatoms in lakes have received more attention, while epilithic and epipelic communities were other mostly studied groups especially in rivers (Solak et al., 2012). In recent years, due to the increase of water quality assessment projects, several studies were done using diatom indices (e.g., Sevindik and Kucuk, 2016; Celekli et al., 2018; Şanal and Demir, 2019; Çetin and Demir, 2019; Tokatlı et al., 2019; Solak et al., 2020; Maraşlıoğlu and Bektaş 2022). Until now, 1848 diatom taxa including brackish water species were recorded in the freshwater algal flora of Türkiye (Taşkın et al., 2019; Maraslioğlu and Gönülol, 2021), and 101 new diatom records were given in recent years (Baykal et al., 2009; Sevindik et al., 2011; Solak et al., 2016; Maraşlıoğlu and Soylu, 2018; Şahin and Akar 2018; Varol et al., 2018; Ayça Oğuz et al., 2020; Solak et al.,

2021; Şahin 2022).

Due to Türkiye's European Union accession process, several projects have been implemented and funded by Directorate-General for Water Management (DGWM) and the General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works (DSI) of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry specified for biological quality components. This study is one of the outcomes of the "Establishment of Reference Monitoring Network in Türkiye" project, financially and technically supported by DGWM. In this project, 275 lakes and 586 rivers in 25 river basins were studied, and a total of 1363 phytoplankton taxa and 860 phytobenthos taxa were detected. A total of 895 diatoms (Bacillariophyta) taxa were recorded as planktonic (378 taxa) and benthic (860 taxa). The present study aimed to contribute to the algal flora of Türkiye by describing 39 taxa in Bacillariophyta as new records for the Turkish freshwater algal flora. By contributing to the diatom flora in Türkiye together with the data obtained from this study, a database will be established for future diatom biogeography studies. For this reason, the distribution of the newly identified taxa in the Turkish basins was also given.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Study Area

As a consequence of the intersection of different climate types, noticeable altitude differences, and the position of the mountains relative to the Mediterranean, Aegean, and Black Seas, there are 7 different climatic zones in Türkiye (Solak et al., 2012). Therefore, the average annual temperature and precipitation values are very diverse in different regions (Anonymous, 2004). For instance, the average annual precipitation ranges from 200 mm in Central Anatolia to 2500 mm in the north-eastern coastal area of the Black Sea (Sensoy et al., 2008). Thus, water availability varies in the basins depending on the geographical size of the river basins and the average annual precipitation and temperature values (Akın and Akın, 2007). The Fırat-Dicle Basin is the largest single volume of available exploitable freshwater resources (28.5%) (Foreign Relation Office of DSI 2014).

Türkiye has 25 river basins (Figure 1), and the names of the basins are usually referred to by the name of the greatest river they contain. Türkiye has 107 major rivers (Solak et al., 2012). Most of Türkiye 's rivers are found in the Black Sea Region. These are Çoruh, Yeşilırmak, Kızılırmak, and Sakarya. All these rivers flow into the Black Sea. The longest river (1,355 km) in Türkiye is Kızılırmak. The most important streams flowing into the Mediterranean Sea are the Asi, Seyhan, Göksu, and Ceyhan rivers. Meriç, Küçük Menderes, and Büyük Menderes are the rivers flowing into the Aegean Sea (Şahin, 2010). River basins in Türkiye consist of 200 natural lakes, 806 reservoirs, and 1000 ponds (Foreign Relation Office of DSİ, 2014). Burdur, Susurluk, Van Lake, and Konya are important basins where the natural lake numbers are high (Hoşgören, 1994). However, in the last century, as a result of climate change and improper usage of groundwaters, decreases have been observed in the area and depth of natural lakes (i.e. Tuz, Akşehir, Beyşehir) (Solak et al., 2012).



Figure 1. River Basins of Türkiye (L: Lake, R: River) and locations of the newly described taxons *Şekil 1. Türkiye'nin nehir havzaları ve yeni tanımlanan taksonların yerleri (L: Göl, R: Nehir)*

Sampling and Identification:

Phytoplankton was sampled three times (spring, summer, and autumn) a year in 2017, 2018, and 2019 at the one, two, or three monitoring stations in each lake. The number of stations to be sampled were determined as one station for lakes that have a surface area smaller than 50 ha, two stations for lakes having a surface area between 50 and 500 ha, and three stations for lakes with a surface area higher than 500 ha (Anonymous, 2015). One of the selected stations was determined at the deepest point of the lake. In 275 lakes, samples of the phytoplankton were collected with a 1 L Hydrobios water sampler from the euphotic zone (Secchi disk depth $\times 2.5$). Plankton net with a pore diameter of 50 µm was also used for collecting quantitavive samples of phytoplankton. All samples were fixed with Lugol's solution.

In each lake, phytobenthos was sampled three times (spring, summer, and autumn) a year in 2017, 2018, and 2019 at the one, two, or three monitoring stations.

Samples were obtained in the littoral zone of the lakes, which selected near the stations were for phytoplankton sampling. Epiphytic diatoms were preferred, however, if macrophytes were absent, epilithic or epipelic diatoms were sampled. In each river, phytobenthos was sampled three times (spring, summer, and autumn) a year in 2017, 2018, and 2019. Epilithic diatoms were preferred in rivers, however, if stones were absent, epiphytic or epipelic diatoms were sampled. For epilithic diatoms, at least five stones were randomly selected and the upper surface of the stones was brushed with the bristle brush in 100 mL of distilled water. For epiphytic diatoms, generally, hard-surfaced macrophytes such as reeds were selected, and submerged leaves or stems were brushed in 100 mL of distilled water. For epipelic diatoms, samples were collected from the most superficial layer (~10mm in depth) of the sediment using a core sampler (3 cm in diameter) (EN 15708, 2004). All samples were fixed with Lugol's solution.

In the laboratory, diatom samples were cleaned with hydrochloric acid and hot hydrogen peroxide, and permanent slides were mounted with Naphrax according to EN 15708 (2004). The diatom taxa were identified by using different types of compound microscopes $(1000 \times \text{magnifications})$ in different laboratories according to the identification books of Krammer and Lange-Bertalot (1986; 1991a; 1991b; 1999) and Krammer (2003). Identified taxa were checked with the checklist of Aysel (2005), Taşkın et al. (2019), and the database of Turkish algae (Maraşlıoğlu and Gönülol, 2021), and then determined as new taxa for Turkish freshwater algal flora. The currently accepted nomenclature and distribution of taxa have been given according to Guiry and Guiry (2021). The new records were photographed with the cameras attached to the microscopes.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

During the studied period, a total of 895 diatom taxa were recorded as planktonic (378 taxa) and benthic (860 taxa), and 39 of them were found as new records (Table 1). The information about their dimensions, habitat, ecology and locations were given in Table 2. Their images were shown in Figure 2-4.

During the three years, 39 new records in 21 genera have been identified in the 15 basins among 25 river basins in Türkiye. The genera best shown in terms of species richness were *Gomphonema* (6) and *Pinnularia* (4). The majority of the new records were determined to belong to the Cymbellales (13 taxa) and Naviculales (16 taxa) orders, respectively. On the other hand, Cocconeidales and Eunotiales members were represented with 4 and 2 taxa, respectively. Moreover, species belonging to each of the Surirellales, Thalassiosirales, and Thalassiophysales orders have been identified.

In the basins, 19 taxa were only found in lakes, while 10 of them were found only in rivers and 10 of them were distributed in both lakes and rivers. The insufficient diatom research in lakes compare to river studies in **Türkiye** (Solak et al., 2012) is probably the main reason why more new records were found in lakes during in this study.

Rare species constituted 36.4% of 39 new records, while 63.6% of them are the species that are widely distributed in the world. Achnanthidium rostropyrenaicum, Cocconeis pseudolineata, Craticula paramolesta, Cymbella Encyonema exigua, Fallacia bipartitum, Encyonema lapponicum, gemmifera, Gomphonema angustivalva, Gomphonema parvuliforme, Gomphonema pratense, Halamphora paraveneta. Navicula supergregaria, Pinnularia neohalophila, Sellaphora japonica, Surirella lacrimula were identified as rare taxa (Guiry and Guiry, 2021).

Rare species were distributed in Antalya, Fırat-Dicle, Konya, Marmara, Burdur, Sakarya, Yeşilırmak, Büyük Menderes, Küçük Menderes and Kızılırmak basins. 10 rare species were found only in one station, while 4 of them were distributed in different stations in one basin. Only *C. pseudolineata* and *G. angustivalva* were reported in different stations of the Antalya, Konya, and Fırat-Dicle basins. Therefore, we can conclude that the distribution area of these two species is wider in Türkiye.

The highest number of new records were found in both river and lake habitats with freshwater features in Fırat-Dicle (11 taxa), Konya (11 taxa), Antalya (10 taxa), and Büyük Menderes (6 taxa) basins. Due to its large geographical area and high-altitude differences (Sahin, 2010), the number of new records was found higher in the Fırat-Dicle basin. Moreover, relatively less studies were done in that region previously (Solak et al., 2012; Taşkın et al., 2019; Maraşlıoğlu and Gönülol, 2021). Although the majority of the species were detected in freshwater habitats, Fallacia gemmifera, Navicula supergregaria, and Thalassiosira baltica were found in brackish lake habitats. These species have been reported in marine and brackish habitats of Europe, Asia, the Arctic, North America, South America, the Atlantic Islands, and Australia (Rumrich et al., 2000; Guiry and Guiry, 2021). T. baltica has also been reported as a short life span, fastgrowing, high environmentally tolerant, and invasive species (Ricciardi and Rasmussen, 1998; Edlund et al., 2000).

Seven species (Brachysira neglectissima, Cymbopleura kuelbsii, Encyonema bipartitum, Encyonema lapponicum, Navicula supergregaria, Neidium densestriatum, Pinnularia grunowii) identified in this study have been reported as sensitive in terms of water quality indicators (Van Dam et al., 1994; Wojtal, 2009; Hofmann et al., 2011). However, the majority of the species that were reported as new records in this study have been indicated as tolerant or resistant to pollution (Van Dam et al., 1994; Wojtal 2009; Hofmann et al., 2011). The distribution of the diatoms in different water quality levels of the lakes or rivers in the basins must be investigated.

CONCLUSION

As a result, 39 new records were reported for the freshwater algal flora of **Türkiye** with this study, and it was observed that these taxa were distributed in different regions in the world. The number of new diatom records for the algal flora of **Türkiye** is expected to increase in the future.

Table 1. Number of studied lakes and rivers in 25 river ba	asins
Cizelge 1–25 nehir havzasında incelenen göl ve nehir sav	151

y	<u>Bisser</u> Besine	T	f Locations		r of Taxa		New	Records	Locations of New Records			
	River Basins	Lakes	Rivers	Lakes	Rivers	Lakes	Rivers	Number of Taxa*	Lakes**	Rivers***		
	Antalya	9	36	144	236	5	23	10	L12, L15, L17, L18, L19	R1, R8, R14, R15, R16, R17, R18, R19, R21, R32, R33, R34, R35, R37, R39, R43, R44, R48, R54, R55, R59, R61		
2017	Büyük Menderes	13	32	150	170	5	2	6	L67, L68, L69, L72, L75	R51, R40		
	Gediz	6	28	63	101	-	-	-	-	-		
	Konya	18	39	208	248	7	13	6 (11)	L4, L6, L10, L12, L13, L27, L28, L38	R5, R6, R10, R11, R12, R23, R24, R25, R27, R31, R50, R58, R62		
	Küçük Menderes	6	13	98	101	1	-	1	L194	-		
	North Aegean	5	19	198	158	-	-	-	-	-		
	Susurluk	9	23	63	60	-	-	-	-	-		
	Western Mediterranean	13	44	108	132	1	-	1	L31	-		
2018	Akarçay Burdur East Blacksea Kızılırmak Marmara Meriç-Ergene	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 23 \\ 9 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 11 \\ 18 \\ 23 \\ 9 \\ 6 \end{array} $	$153 \\ 86 \\ 47 \\ 122 \\ 113 \\ 96$	$157 \\ 63 \\ 57 \\ 79 \\ 84 \\ 65$	2 1 - 1 -	- - - 1	2 1 - 1 1 -	L2, L3 L60 L148	- - R53		
	Sakarya	23	36	79	76	5	1	3	L19, L39	R45		
	Yeşilırmak	14	21	89	41	1	-	1	L271	-		
	West Blacksea	14	19	117	97	-	-	-	-	-		
	Aras Asi	3 8	$\frac{16}{21}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66 \\ 162 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71 \\ 149 \end{array}$	- 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	- (1) 1 (2)	- L26, L27, L28	R56 R2, R7, R52		
	Ceyhan	18	33	104	94	-	-	-	-	-		
19	Çoruh	8	12	79	51	1	-	- (1)	L99	-		
2019	East Mediterranean Fırat-Dicle	12 17	32 39	$105\\153$	$104\\174$	6	- 19	- 5 (11)	L130, L132, L135, L138	R3, R4, R9, R13, R20, R22, R28, R29, R30, R36, R38, R41, R46, R47, R49, R57, R60, R63		
	Seyhan	12	33	70	107	-	-	-	-	-		
	Van Lake	7	6	89	41	-	-	-	-	-		
	Total	275	586	8	95	39	63	39		-		

*has been shown in parentheses, the total number of new records in the basin

** The codes of the lake points are stated in Maraşlıoğlu et al., (2021)

***R1: Akçay Creek, R2: Algana Creek, R3: Armutağaç Creek, R4: Aşağıdecde Creek, R5: Bendboğazı Creek, R6: Bıçakçı Creek, R7: Bostanlık Creek, R8: Boyalıçay Creek, R9: Büyük Creek, R10: Çamurluiret Creek, R11: Çarşamba Creek, R12: Çarşamba Creek, R13: Çelikler Creek, R14: Değirmen Creek, R15: Dim Creek, R16: Dim Creek, R17: Düzlübel Creek, R18: Eğreğin Creek, R19: Fabrika Creek, R20: Gazel Creek, R21: Göynük Creek, R22: Gurik Creek, R23: Güdet Creek, R24: Huzur Creek, R25: Ilısu River, R26: İnasar Creek, R27: İvriz Creek, R28: Kaldırma Creek, R29: Karaçamur Creek, R30: Karagedik Creek, R31: Karagöz Creek, R32: Karagöz Creek, R33: Karaman Creek, R34: Kargı Creek, R35: Karpuz Creek, R36: Kartal Creek, R37: Kartal Creek, R38: Kavurma Creek, R39: Kelmen Creek, R40: Kızılıçukur Creek, R41: Komikan Creek, R43: Kuru Creek, R44: Kürkgeçit Creek, R45: Kütüklü Creek, R46: Mağaracık Creek, R47: Mutolar Creek, R48: Natıflar Creek, R49: Norsil Creek, R50: Okçu Creek, R51: Ovacık Creek, R52: Pekmez Creek, R53: Menderes Stream, R54: Sapadere Creek, R55: Sapadere Creek, R56: Seyran Creek, R57: Simirtas Creek, R58: Sülek Creek, R59: Utice Creek, R60: Yalnızkaz Creek, R61: Yazılı Creek, R62: Yeşil Creek, R63: Yoncalık Creek

Table 2. List of Bacillariophyta taxa identified as new records in 25 basins in Türkiye *Çizelge 2. 25 havzada yeni kayıt olarak tanımlanan Bacillariophyta takson listesi*

			Dimensions (µm)						Water			
No	Taxa	Synonym(s)	Т			Habitat	Type of Life	Quality		Distribution in the World*		
						(10 µm)			Indication**	Basin	Location	dio moriu
1	Achnanthidium rostropyrenaicum Jüttner and Cox		18	4.5	-	20	(Fre)-L, R	Epl	Т	AN	R16, R35, R53	R
2	<i>Brachysira neglectissima</i> Lange- Bertalot	-	18	4.5	-	35	(Fre)-R	Epl	S	AN, FD	R15, R21, R26, R34, R36, R39	W
3	<i>Caloneis strelnikovae</i> Levkov and Williams	-	51	14	-	17	(Fre)-L	Epp	S/T	AK	L3	W
4	<i>Cocconeis euglyptoides</i> (Geitler) Lange-Bertalot	-	11	6	-	20	(Fre)-L, R	Phy-Epl	Т	AN, KO	L12, L15, L19, L169, L181, L185, R11, R12, R15, R16, R23, R25, L26, R27, R31, R33, L38, R49, R53, R54, R58, R60	W
5	<i>Cocconeis pseudolineata</i> (Geitler) Lange-Bertalot	<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> var. <i>pseudolineata</i> Geitler	28	14	-	23	(Fre)-L, R	Epl	S/T	AN, FD, KO	L12, R20, R24, R27, R49, R59	R
6	<i>Craticula paramolesta</i> Lange- Bertalot, Cavacini, Tagliaventi and Alfinito	-	12	3.5	-	23	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	FD	R3, R9, R29, R62	R
7	<i>Cymbella affinis var. neoprocera</i> Silva	<i>Cymbella excisa</i> var. <i>procera</i> Krammer	31	8.5	-	10	(Fre)-L, R	Epl-Epp	S/T	AS, FD	L26, R2, R7, R22, R30, R41, R52,	W
8	<i>Cymbella cantonatii</i> Lange- Bertalot	-	37	10	-	10	(Fre)-L, R	Epl-Epp	S/T	\mathbf{FD}	L138, R4, R45, R56	W
9	<i>Cymbella exigua</i> Krammer	-	19	8.5	-	15	(Fre)-L	Epp	Т	MA	R52	R
10	<i>Cymbopleura kuelbsii</i> Krammer	-	30	7.5	-	10	(Fre)-L, R	Epl-Epp	S	AN, FD	L130, L132, L135, R37, R47	W
11	<i>Encyonema bipartitum</i> (A. Mayer) Krammer	<i>Cymbella bipartita</i> Mayer	17	5	-	11	(Fre)-R	Epl	S	FD	R38	R
12	<i>Encyonema lapponicum</i> (A. Cleve) Krammer	<i>Cymbella aequalis</i> var <i>. lapponica</i> (A. Cleve) Krammer	38	8	-	8	(Fre)-L	Epp	S	BU	L60	R
13	<i>Encyonopsis krammeri</i> Reichardt	-	12	3	-	28	(Fre)-L	Epl-Epp	S/T	AN, KO	L12, L169, L182, R1, R17, R18, R39, R43	W
14	<i>Eunotia circumborealis</i> Lange- Bertalot and Nörpel	<i>Eunotia</i> septentrionalis var. bidens Hustedt	45	7	-	13	(Fre)-L	Epp	S/T	SA	L231	W
15	<i>Eunotia ruzickae</i> Bílý and Marvan	-	60	6	-	13	(Fre)-L	Epp	S/T	SA	L216	W

Abbreviations; For Basin(s); AK: Akarçay, AN: Antalya, AR: Aras, AS: Asi, WM: Western Mediterranean, EM: Eastern Mediterranean, WB: Western Black Sea, EB: Eastern Black Sea, BU: Burdur, KM: Küçük Menderes, BM: Büyük Menderes, CE: Ceyhan, CO: Çoruh, FD: Fırat Dicle, GE: Gediz, KI: Kızılırmak, KO: Konya, NA: North Aegean, MA: Marmara, ME: Meriç Ergene, SA: Sakarya, SE: Seyhan, SU: Susurluk, VL: Van Lake, YE: Yeşilırmak. For Habitat; Fre: Freshwater, Bra: Brackish, R: River, L: Lake. For Dimensions; L: Length, W: Weight, D: Diameter, S: Striae number. For Life Type; Phy: Phytoplankton, Epl: Epilithic, Epp: Epiphytic. For Water quality indicator; S: sensitive, T: tolerant, S/T: indifference. For Distribution; R: Rare, W: Widely.

Table 2. Continued

Çizelge 2. Devamı

<u> </u>			L	oimens	ons	(µm)			Water				
No	Taxa	Synonym(s)	L	w		S (10 μm)	Habitat	Type of Life	Quality Indication	Basin	Distributio n in the World*		
						(10 µm)			**	Basin	Location	WOLIU	
16	<i>Fallacia gemmifera</i> (Simonsen) D.G.Mann	Navicula gemmifera (Simonsen) D.G.man	15	4	-	20	(Bra)-L	Phy	S/T	KI	L148	R	
17	<i>Gomphonema acutiusculum</i> (O.Müller) A.Cleve	<i>Gomphonema lanceolatum var. acutiusculum</i> O.Müller	43	10	-	9	(Fre)-L	Phy- Epl	S/T	WM	L31	W	
18	<i>Gomphonema angustivalva</i> Reichardt	-	13	3.5	-	15	(Fre)-L, R	Phy- Epl	Т	AN, FD, KO	L18, L19, R8, R10, R13, R14, R16, R32, R42, R57, R58, R61	R	
19	Gomphonema cymbelliclinum Reichardt and Lange-Bertalot	-	37	6.5	-	10	(Fre)-L, R	Epl	Т	AN, KO	R5, R14, R19	W	
20	<i>Gomphonema parvuliforme</i> Levkov		23	8	-	10	(Fre)-L	Epl	Т	KM	L194	R	
21	<i>Gomphonema pratense</i> Lange- Bertalot and Reichardt	-	60	9.5	-	8	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	KO	L174	R	
22	Gomphonema procerum Reichardt and Lange-Bertalot	-	38	6	-	11	(Fre)-L	Epp	S/T	AS	L27, L28	W	
23	<i>Halamphora paraveneta</i> (Lange- Bertalot, Cavacini, Tagliaventi and Alfnito) Levkov	<i>Amphora paraveneta</i> Lange-Bertalot, Cavacini, Tagliaventi & Alfinito	40	20	-	11	(Fre)-L	Epl	Т	BM	L75	R	
24	<i>Navicula supergregaria</i> Rumrich and Lange-Bertalot	-	28	8	-	13	(Bra)-L	Epl	S	BM	L69, L72	R	
25	<i>Navicula vilaplanii</i> Lange- Bertalot and Sabater	<i>Navicula longicephala</i> var <i>. vilaplanii</i> Lange ⁻ Bertalot & Sabater	15	5	-	19	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	BM, KE	R40, R50	W	
26	<i>Neidium densestriatum</i> (Østrup) Krammer	<i>Caloneis ladogensis</i> var. <i>densestriata</i> Østrup	24	12	-	26	(Fre)-L, R	Phy- Epl	S	KO	L181, L184	W	
27	Pinnularia grunowii Krammer	-	38	8	-	11	(Fre)-L	Epl	\mathbf{S}	BM	L68	W	
28	<i>Pinnularia neohalophila</i> Kulikovskiy, Genkal and Mikheeva	<i>Pinnularia rhombarea</i> var <i>. halophila</i> Krammer	52	11.5	-	10	(Fre)-L	Epl- Epp	S/T	YE	L271	R	
29	<i>Pinnularia sinistra</i> Krammer	-	17	4	-	11	(Fre)-L, R	Phy- Epl- Epp	Т	AN, CO, KO	L17, L99, L174, R33	W	
30	<i>Pinnularia subanglica</i> Krammer	-	53	8		11	(Fre)-L	Epp Epl	S/T	BM	L68	W	

Table 2. Continued

Çizelge 2. Devamı

_			Dimensions (µm)									
No	Таха	Synonym(s)		w	D	S (10 μm)	Habitat	Type of Life	Water Quality Indication**		in Türkiye*** Location	Distribution in the World*
31	<i>Placoneis paraelginensis</i> Lange-Bertalot	-	11	7	-	12	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	AN, AR, FD	L17, R4, R55	W
32	Planothidium biporomum (Hohn and Hellerman) Lange- Bertalot	Achnanthes biporoma M.H.Hohn & J.Hellerman	10	3.5	-	12	(Fre)-L, R	Epl	Т	КО	R10, R24	W
33	<i>Sellaphora atomoides</i> (Grunow) Wetzel and Van de Vijver	Navicula atomoides Grunow	9.5	3.5	-	30	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	FD	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm R20, R28,} \\ {\rm R29, R38,} \\ {\rm R45, R48,} \\ {\rm R59} \end{array}$	W
34	<i>Sellaphora japonica</i> Kobayasi	<i>Stauroneis japonica</i> H.Kobayasi	20	5.5	-	24	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	SA	R44	R
35	<i>Sellaphora nigri</i> (De Notaris) Wetzel and Ector	Navicula nigri De Notaris	5	3	-	26	(Fre)-R	Epl	S/T	FD	R46	w
36	<i>Stauroneis</i> <i>amphicephala</i> Kützing	<i>Stauroneis anceps</i> var. <i>amphicephala</i> Kützing	35	11	-	19	(Fre)-L, R	Phy-Epl	Т	КО	L175, R5	W
37	<i>Staurophora tackei</i> (Hustedt) Bahls	Navicula tackei Hustedt	20	5.5	-	24	(Fre)-L	Epl	S/T	BM	L67	W
38	Surirella lacrimula English	Surirella neglecta E.Reichardt	24	10	-	27	(Fre)-R	Epl-Epp	Т	КО	L172, R6, R10, R24, R61	R
39	<i>Thalassiosira baltica</i> (Grunow) Ostenfeld	<i>Coscinodiscus polyacanthus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> Grunow			30 diam		(Bra)-L	Epp	S/T	AK	L2	W

*Distribution has been evaluated according to AlgaeBase (Guiry and Guiry 2021)

**has been given according to Van Dam et al. (1994); Wojtal (2009); Hofmann et al. (2011)

*** The codes of the lake points are stated in Maraşlıoğlu et al. (2021)



- Figure 2. a) Achnanthidium rostropyrenaicum, b) Brachysira neglectissima, c) Caloneis strelnikovae, d) Cocconeis euglyptoides, e) Cocconeis pseudolineata, f) Craticula paramolesta, g) Cymbella affinis var. neoprocera, h) Cymbella cantonatii, i) Cymbella exigua, j) Cymbopleura kuelbsii, k) Encyonema bipartitum, l) Encyonema lapponicum, m) Encyonopsis krammeri, n) Eunotia circumborealis, o) Eunotia ruzickae, p) Fallacia gemmifera
- Şekil 2. a) Achnanthidium rostropyrenaicum, b) Brachysira neglectissima, c) Caloneis strelnikovae, d) Cocconeis euglyptoides, e) Cocconeis pseudolineata, f) Craticula paramolesta, g) Cymbella affinis var. neoprocera, h) Cymbella cantonatii, i) Cymbella exigua, j) Cymbopleura kuelbsii, k) Encyonema bipartitum, l) Encyonema lapponicum, m) Encyonopsis krammeri, n) Eunotia circumborealis, o) Eunotia ruzickae, p) Fallacia gemmifera



- Figure 3. a) Gomphonema acutiusculum, b) Gomphonema angustivalva, c) Gomphonema cymbelliclinum, d) Gomphonema parvuliforme, e) Gomphonema pratense, f) Gomphonema procerum, g) Halamphora paraveneta, h) Navicula supergregaria, i) Navicula vilaplanii, j) Neidium densestriatum, k) Pinnularia grunowii, l) Pinnularia neohalophila
- Şekil 3. a) Gomphonema acutiusculum, b) Gomphonema angustivalva, c) Gomphonema cymbelliclinum, d) Gomphonema parvuliforme, e) Gomphonema pratense, f) Gomphonema procerum, g) Halamphora paraveneta, h) Navicula supergregaria, i) Navicula vilaplanii, j) Neidium densestriatum, k) Pinnularia grunowii, l) Pinnularia neohalophila



Figure 4. a) Pinnularia sinistra, b) Pinnularia subanglica, c) Placoneis paraelginensis, d) Planothidium biporomum, e) Sellaphora atomoides, f) Sellaphora japonica, g) Sellaphora nigri, h) Stauroneis amphicephala, i) Staurophora tackei, j) Surirella lacrimula, k) Thalassiosira baltica

Şekil 4. a) Pinnularia sinistra, b) Pinnularia subanglica, c) Placoneis paraelginensis, d) Planothidium biporomum,
 e) Sellaphora atomoides, f) Sellaphora japonica, g) Sellaphora nigri, h) Stauroneis amphicephala, i) Staurophora tackei, j) Surirella lacrimula, k) Thalassiosira baltica

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was financially and technically supported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Directorate General of Water Management of the Republic of Türkiye. We would like to thank the executives and the staff of Çınar Engineering Consulting Co. who had executed the Project (Establishment of Reference Monitoring Network in Türkiye, 2017-2020).

Researchers' Contribution Rate Statement Summary

First Author: Conceptualization, Writing-review, editing, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization

Second Author: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization

Third Author: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization

Fourth Author: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization

Fifth Author: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization

Sixth Author: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization

Seventh Author: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization

Eighth Author: Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Resources

Ninth Author: Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Resources

Tenth Author: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization

Eleventh Author: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization

Twelfth Author: Data Curation, Investigation

Thirteenth Author: Data Curation, Investigation

Fourteenth Author: Data Curation, Investigation

Fifteenth Author: Data Curation, Investigation

Sixteenth Author: Data Curation, Investigation

Conflict of Interest Statement

The article's authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Ács, É., Szabó, K., Tóth, B., & Kiss, K.T. (2004). Investigation of benthic algal communities, especially diatoms of some Hungarian Streams in connection with reference conditions of the Water Framework Directives. Acta Bot. Hung. 46, 255–277.

- Akın, M., & Akın, G. (2007). Suyun önemi, Türkiye'de su potansiyeli, su havzaları ve su kirliliği. A. Ü. Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi Dergisi 47, 105–118.
- Anonymous, (2004). Türkiye çevre atlası. T. C. Çevre ve Orman Bakanlığı, Çevre Envanteri Daire Başkanlığı, Ankara.

Anonymous, (2015). Yer üstü suları, yer altı suları ve sedimentten numune alma ve biyolojik örnekleme tebliği. T.C. Orman ve Su İşleri Bakanlığı. Resmî Gazete No:29274.

Aysel, V. (2005). Check-List of the Freshwater Algae of Turkey. Journal of Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment 11, 1–124.

Baykal, T., Akbulut, T., Açıkgöz, İ., Udoh, A.U., Yıldız, K., & Şen, B. (2009). New Records for the Freshwater Algae of Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Botany 33*, 141–152.

Bouchard, G., Gajewski, K., & Hamilton, P.B. (2004). Freshwater diatom biogeography in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. J. Biogeogr. 31, 1955–1973.

- Cantonati, M., & Lange-Bertalot, H. (2006). Achnanthidium dolomiticum sp. Nov. (Bacillariophyta) from oligotrophic mountain springs and lakes fed by dolomite aquifers 1. J. Phycol. 42, 1184–1188.
- Cantonati, M., & Spitale, D. (2009). The role of environmental variables in structuring epiphytic and epilithic diatom assemblages in springs and streams of the Dolomiti Bellunesi National Park (south-eastern Alps). *Fundam. Appl. Limnol. 174*, 117–133.
- Çelekli, A., Toudjani, A.A., Lekesiz, H.Ö., & Çetin, T. (2018). Ecological quality assessment of running waters in the North Aegean catchment with diatom metrics and multivariate approach. *Limnologica 73*, 20–27.
- Çetin, T., & Demir, N. (2019). The use of phytobenthos for the ecological status assessment in Upper Sakarya basin, Turkey. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 17(4), 10155–10172.
- Edlund, M.B., Taylor, C.M., Schelske, C.L. & Stoermer, E.F. (2000). *Thalassiosira baltica* (Grunow) Ostenfeld (Bacillariophyta), a new exotic species in the Great Lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 57(3), 610–615.
- EN-15708, (2004). Water quality Guidance standard for the surveying, sampling and laboratory analyses of phytobenthos in shallow running water. European Standard EN, 15708, Brussels.
- Finlay, B.J., & Clarke, K.J. (1999). Ubiquitous dispersal of microbial species. *Nature*, 400, 828.
- Foreign Relation Office of DSİ, (2014). Water and DSİ – 60 years full of realized projects. DSİ Press (English), Ankara.

- Guiry, M.D., & Guiry, G.M. (2021). AlgaeBase. Worldwide electronic publication. Galway: National University of Ireland [online]. Website: http://www.algaebase.org [accessed 20 May 2021]. onward (continuously updated).
- Hofmann, G., Werum, M., & Lange-Bertalot, H. (2011).
 Diatomeen im Süßwasser-Benthos von Mitteleuropa. Bestimmungsflora Kieselalgen für die ökologische Praxis. Über, 700, 908.
- Hoşgören, M.Y. (1994). Türkiye'nin gölleri. *Türk Coğrafya Dergisi, 29*, 19–51.
- Hubbell, S.P. (2001). The Unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, p375.
- Karger, D.N., Kluge, J., Krömer, T., Hemp, A., Lehnert, M., & Kessler, M. (2011). The effect of area on local and regional elevational patterns of species richness. J. Biogeogr, 38, 1177–1185.
- Kraft, N.J.B., Comita, L.S., Chase, J.M., Sanders, N.J., Swenson, N.G., Crist, T.O., Stegen, J.C., Vellend, M., Boyle, B., Anderson, M.J., Cornell, H.V., Davies, K.F., Freestone, A.L., Inouye, B.D., Harrison, S.P., & Myers, J.A. (2011). Disentangling the drivers of beta diversity along latitudinal and elevational gradients. *Science*, 333, 1755–1758.
- Krammer, K., & Lange-Bertalot, H. (1986). Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa: Bacillariophyceae, I. Naviculaceae. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Krammer, K., & Lange-Bertalot, H. (1991a). Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa: Bacillariophyceae. III. Centrales, Fragilariaceae, Eunoticeae. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Krammer, K., & Lange-Bertalot, H. (1991b). Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa: Bacillariophyceae. IV. Achnanthaceae, Kritische Ergänzungen zu Navicula (Lineolatae) und Gomphonema. Gesamtliteraturverzeichnis. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Krammer, K., & Lange-Bertalot, H. (1999). Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa: Bacillariophyceae. II. Epithemiaceae, Surirellaceae. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Krammer, K. (2003). Diatoms of Europe. Volume 4: Cymbopleura, Delicata, Navicymbula, Gomphocymbellopsis, Afrocymbella. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag, Ruggell.
- Kristiansen, J. (1996). 16. Dispersal of freshwater algae a review. *Hydrobiologia 336*, 151–157.
- Lessard, J.P., Belmaker, J., Myers, J.A., Chase, J.M., & Rahbek, C. (2012). Inferring local ecological processes amid species pool influences. *Trends Ecol. Evol*, 27, 600–607.
- Maraşlıoğlu, F., & Soylu, E.N. (2018). New diatom records for Turkish freshwater algal flora from lakes Ladik (Samsun, Turkey) and Hazar (Elazığ, Turkey). *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 18* (3), 463–474.

- Maraşlıoğlu, F., & Bektaş, S. (2022). Characterization of Spatiotemporal Variations in Mert Stream Water Quality by Phytoplankton Community and Biological Indices. *Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi Tarım ve Doğa Dergisi, 25*(Ek Sayı 1), 42–53.
- Maraşlıoğlu, F., Soylu, E.N., Demir, N., Çelekli, A., Sömek, H., Öterler, B., Çetin, T., Karaaslan, Y., Ongun Sevindik, T., Coşkun, T., Solak, C.N., & Temizel, B. (2021). New Records for The Turkish Freshwater Algal Flora in Twenty Five River Basins of Turkey, Part VI: Charophyta. *Trakya University Journal of Natural Sciences*, 22(2), 111– 129.
- Maraşlıoğlu., F., & Gönülol, A. (2021). Turkish algae electronic publication, Çorum, Turkey. [online]. Website: http://turkiyealgleri.hitit.edu.tr/ [accessed 20 November 2021]. Onward (continuously updated).
- Martiny, J.B.H., Bohannan, B.J.M., Brown, J.H., Robert, K., Colwell, J.A., Fuhrman, J.L., Green, M., Horner-Devine, C., Kane, M., Krumins, J.A., Kuske, C.R., Morin, P.J., Naeem, S., Øvreås, L., Reysenbach. A.L., Smith, V.H., & Staley, J.T. (2006). Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. Nature Reviews Microbiology 4, 102–112.
- Oğuz, A., Kaleli, A., Akçaalan, R., Köker, L., Dorak, Z., Gaygusuz, Ö., Aydın, F., Çetin, T., Karaaslan, Y., & Albay, M. (2020). Composition and distribution of benthic diatoms in different habitats of Burdur River Basin. *Turkish Journal of Water Science & Management*, 4(1), 31-57.
- Ongun Sevindik, T., & Kucuk, F. (2016). Benthic diatoms as indicators of water quality in the Acarlar Floodplain Forest (Northern Turkey). *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 25*(10), 4013– 4025.
- Ongun Sevindık, T., Çelik, K., & Gönülol, A. (2011). Twenty new records for Turkish freshwater algal flora from Çaygören and İkizcetepeler reservoirs (Balıkesir, Turkey). *Turkish Journal of Fisheries* and Aquatic Sciences, 11, 399–406.
- Ricciardi, A., & Rasmussen, J.B. (1998). Predicting the identity and impact of future biological invaders: a priority for aquatic resource management. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55*, 1759–1765.
- Round, F.E., Crawford, R.M., & Mann, D.G. (1990). Diatoms: biology and morphology of the genera. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Rumrich, U., Lange-Bertalot, H., & Rumrich, M. (2000). Diatoms of the Andes. From Venezuela to Patagonia/Tierra del Fuego and two additional contributions. In: Lange-Bertalot H (ed.), Iconographia Diatomologica. Annotated Diatom Micrographs. Vol. 9. Phytogeography Diversity-Taxonomy. Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein, Germany, 9, 673 pp.

- Smol, J.P., & Stoermer, E.F. (2010). The Diatoms: Applications for the Environmental and Earth Sciences, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, p. 686.
- Solak, C.N., Ector, L., Wojtal, A.Z., Ács, É., & Morales,
 E. (2012). A review of investigations on diatoms (Bacillariophyta) in Turkish inland waters. *Nova Hedwigia, Beiheft, 141*, 431–462.
- Solak, C.N., Kulikovskiy, M., Kaleli, A., & Gönülol, A. (2016). Rare and new records of diatoms in Turkey from Kütahya flowing waters. *Oceanological and Hydrobiological Studies* 45(4), 564–587.
- Solak, C.N., Peszek, Ł., Yılmaz, E., Ergül, H.A., Kayal, M., Ekmekçi, F., Várbíró, G., Morkoyunlu Yüce, A., Canli, O., Binici, M.S., & Ács, É. (2020). Use of Diatoms in Monitoring the Sakarya River Basin, Turkey. *Water*, 12, 703–723. doi:10.3390/ w12030703
- Solak, C.N., Wojtal, A.Z., Rybak, M., & Yilmaz, E. (2021).Distribution of Didymosphenia, Gomphonema and Gomphosphenia diatoms (Bacillariophyta) Turkish in inland waters. International Journal of Oceanography and Hydrology 50(2), 198-219.
- Şahin, B., & Akar, B. (2018). Nine new records from high mountain lakes Artabel Lakes Nature Park, Gümüşhane/Turkey for the freshwater diatom flora of Turkey. *Biyolojik Çeşitlilik ve Koruma*, 11(3), 56– 63.
- Şahin, B. (2022). New records for the freshwater algal flora of Turkey. *Biological Diversity and Conservation*, 15(1), 84–87. doi: 10.46309/biodicon. 2022.1028189
- Şahin, C. (2010). Türkiye Fiziki Coğrafyası. Gündüz Eğitim ve Yayıncılık, 4. Baskı.
- Şanal, M., & Demir, N. (2018). Use of the epiphytic diatoms to estimate the ecological status of Lake Mogan. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 16(3), 3529–3543.
- Şensoy, S., Demircan, M., Ulupinar, Y., & Balta, İ. (2008). *Climate of Turkey (p. 7)*. Ankara, Turkey.
- Taşkın, E., Akbulut, A., Yıldız, A., Şahin, B., Şen, B., Uzunöz, C., Solak, C.N., Başdemır, D., Çevik, F., Sönmez, F., Açıkgöz, İ., Pabuçcu, K., Öztürk, M.,

Alp, M.T., Albay, M., Çakır, M., Özbay, Ö., Can, Ö., Akçaalan, R., Atıcı, T., & Zengin, Z.T. (2019). *Türkiye Suyosunları Listesi. Ali Nihay Gökyiğit Vakfi Yayını.* İstanbul.

- Taxböck, L., Karger, D.N., Kessler, M., Spitale, D., & Cantonati, M. (2020). Diatom species richness in Swiss springs increases with habitat complexity and elevation. *Water*, 12(2), 449. doi: 10.3390/w12020449.
- Teittinen, A., Wang, J., Strömgård, S.B., & Soininen, J. (2017). Local and geographical factors jointly drive elevational patterns in three microbial groups across subarctic ponds. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 26, 973–982.
- Telford, R.J., Vandvik, V., & Birks, H.J.B. (2006). Dispersal limitation matters for microbial morphospecies. *Science*, 312,1015.
- Tokatlı, C., Solak, C.N., Yılmaz, E., Atıcı, T., & Dayıoğlu, H. (2019). Research into the Epipelic Diatoms of the Meric and Tunca Rivers and the Application of the Biological Diatom Index in Water Quality Assessment. Aqua. Sci. Engin., 35, 19–26.
- Van Dam, H., Mertens, A., & Sinkeldam, J. (1994). A coded checklist and ecological indicator values of freshwater diatoms from the Netherlands. *Netherland Journal of Aquatic Ecology, 28*(1), 117– 133.
- Varol, M., Blanco, S., Alpaslan, K., & Karakaya, G. (2018). New records and rare taxa for the freshwater algae of Turkey from the Tatar Dam Reservoir (Elazığ). *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 42(4), 533-542.
- Vyverman, W., Verleyen, E., Sabbe, K., Vanhoutte, K., Sterken, M., Hodgson, D.A., Mann, D.G., Juggins, S., Van De Vijver, B., Jones, V., Flower, R., Roberts, D., Chepurnov, V.A., Kilroy, C., Vanormelingen, P., & De Wever, A. (2007). Historical processes constrain patterns in global diatom diversity. *Ecology, 88*, 1924–1931.
- Wojtal, A.Z. (2009). The Diatoms of Kobylanka Stream Near Kraków (Wyżyna Krakowsko-Częstochowska Upland, S Poland). *Polish Botanical Journal, 54*(2), 129–330.