

Citation: Gulbahar, M., Erkan, E., Duzgor, M. "Ricci Curvatures on Hypersurfaces of Almost Product-like Statistical Manifolds". *Journal of Engineering Technology and Applied Sciences* 9 (1) 2024 : 33-46.

RICCI CURVATURES ON HYPERSURFACES OF ALMOST PRODUCT-LIKE STATISTICAL MANIFOLDS¹

Mehmet Gülbahar^a , Esra Erkan^{a*} , Meral Düzgör^a 

^a *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Harran University, Şanlıurfa, Turkey*
*mehmetgulbahar@harran.edu.tr, esraerkan@harran.edu.tr (*corresponding author),*
meralduzgor123@gmail.com

Abstract

Riemannian curvature invariants on hypersurfaces of an almost product-like manifold with constant curvature ν are computed. Various relationships involving sectional curvatures and Ricci curvatures have been obtained. Using the Chen-Ricci inequality, some characterizations are presented.

Keywords: Riemannian curvature invariants, hypersurfaces, product manifolds, Chen-Ricci inequality

1. Introduction

Riemannian invariants are crucial in the manifold theory because they determine the intrinsic properties of Riemannian manifolds. The most popularly studied Riemannian invariants are the curvature invariants. Among the Riemann curvature invariants, the classically known are sectional, Ricci, and scalar curvatures. In the literature, we expose various studies related to fundamental inequalities, including Ricci curvature and squared mean curvature, for dissimilar types of submanifolds of real space forms. The first studies in this direction were made by B. Y. Chen in [1-4], etc. This kind of inequalities was studied in [5-10], etc.

The theory of statistical manifolds has recently been studied intensively by authors who have conducted research on differential geometry and its applications. Some applications can be seen in several fields such as image processing, physic, computer science and machine learning [11-14], etc. The notion of statistical manifolds was firstly introduced by C. R. Rao in [15]. The theory of hypersurfaces or submanifolds of statistical manifolds was examined in [16-24], etc.

¹ This study is supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) with project number 122F326.

In the literature, there exist remarkable applications of Riemannian product manifolds [25-34], etc. The concept of almost product-like manifolds was introduced as follows [19]:

Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) be a Riemannian manifold with two almost product structures F and F^* providing the condition

$$\tilde{h}(FY_1, Y_2) = \tilde{h}(Y_1, F^* Y_2) \quad (1)$$

for each $Y_1, Y_2 \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then, $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}, F)$ is entitled to an almost product-like manifold. We remark that an almost product-like manifold is an almost product-like Riemannian manifold if $F = F^*$. If an almost product manifold admitting a statistical structure is entitled to an almost product-like statistical manifold.

In light of the above-mentioned situations, we derive some relations involving the Riemannian curvature invariants on hypersurfaces of almost product-like statistical manifolds and locally product-like statistical manifolds. With the help of these equalities and inequalities, we obtain some characterizations of these hypersurfaces.

2. Preliminaries

Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) be an $(n + 1)$ –dimensional Riemannian manifold furnished with a Riemannian metric \tilde{h} and $\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n+1}\}$ be an orthonormal basis on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) . The Ricci curvature at Z_i , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$ is formulated as

$$\tilde{Ric}^0(Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^0(Z_i, Z_j)Z_j, Z_i), \quad (2)$$

where \tilde{R}^0 is the Riemannian curvature tensor field. Note that Ricci curvature can be given by

$$\tilde{Ric}^0(Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{K}_{ij}^0, \quad (3)$$

where \tilde{K}_{ij}^0 indicates the sectional curvature of a plane section spanned by Z_i and Z_j for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$. The scalar curvature at $p \in \tilde{M}$ is formulated as

$$\tilde{\tau}^0(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{Ric}^0(Z_i). \quad (4)$$

Let (M, h) be an orientable hypersurface of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}) with the induced metric h of \tilde{h} . Suppose that N is a local unit normal field of M . The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are indicated by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_a}^0 Y_b = \nabla_{Y_a}^0 Y_b + g(A_N^0 Y_a, Y_b) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_a}^0 N = -A_N^0 Y_a \quad (5)$$

respectively. The Gauss equation is indicated by

$$R^0(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c = \tilde{R}^0(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c + h(A_N^0 Y_b, Y_c)A_N^0 Y_a - h(A_N^0 Y_a, Y_c)A_N^0 Y_b \quad (6)$$

for each $Y_a, Y_b, Y_c \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Chen-Ricci inequality) Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold. Then, we have the following situations:

i. For any unit vector field Y , we have

$$Ric^0(Y) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + \widetilde{Ric}_{T_pM}^0(Y), \quad (7)$$

where $\widetilde{Ric}_{T_pM}^0$ is the n -Ricci curvature of T_pM .

ii. The equality case of (7) is satisfied for each $Y \in T_pM$ if and only if M is totally geodesic or $n = 2$ and M is totally umbilical.

Now we recall some basic facts related to statistical manifolds:

Let \widetilde{D} indicates a torsion-free connection on $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{h}, F)$. If $\widetilde{D}\widetilde{h}$ is symmetric, $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{h}, \widetilde{D}, F)$ is said to be an almost product-like statistical manifold. For any $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{h}, \widetilde{D}, F)$, we have

$$\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{D}_{Y_c}Y_a, Y_b) = Y_c\widetilde{h}(Y_a, Y_b) - \widetilde{h}(\widetilde{D}_{Y_c}^*Y_b, Y_a), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\widetilde{D}_{Y_a}^0Y_b = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{D}_{Y_a}Y_b + \widetilde{D}_{Y_a}^*Y_b) \quad (9)$$

for any $Y_a, Y_b, Y_c \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$. An almost product-like manifold is entitled to a locally product-like statistical manifold if $\widetilde{D}F = 0$. We note that $\widetilde{D}^*F = 0$ holds for any locally product-like statistical manifold [19].

Denote the Riemannian curvature tensors with regard to \widetilde{D} and \widetilde{D}^* by \widetilde{R} and \widetilde{R}^* . It is known that

$$\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{R}(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c, Y_d) = -\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{R}^*(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c, Y_d) \quad (10)$$

is satisfied for each $Y_a, Y_b, Y_c, Y_d \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$. From (10), it is clear that R and R^* are not symmetric. The manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{h}, \widetilde{D}, F)$ is said to have constant curvature v if

$$\widetilde{R}(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c = v\{\widetilde{h}(Y_b, Y_c)Y_a - \widetilde{h}(Y_a, Y_c)Y_b\} \quad (11)$$

holds. In view of (10) and (11), it follows that $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{h}, \widetilde{D}, F)$ is also of constant curvature with regard to \widetilde{R}^* . We note that Riemannian curvatures are not symmetric.

An almost product manifold of constant curvature $v = 0$ is indicated by $\widetilde{M}(v)$. If $v = 0$, $\widetilde{M}(v)$ is entitled to a Hessian manifold [20].

For simplicity, we indite

$$\widetilde{Ric}(Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Z_i, Z_j)Z_j, Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{K}_{ij}, \quad (12)$$

$$\widetilde{Ric}^*(Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Z_i, Z_j)Z_j, Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{K}_{ij}^*, \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{Ric}(Z_i), \quad \tilde{\tau}^*(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{Ric}^*(Z_i). \quad (14)$$

3. Hypersurfaces of almost product-like statistical manifolds

Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{D}, F)$ be an almost product-like statistical manifold and (M, h) be a hypersurface of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{D}, F)$. Denote the unit normal vector field of (M, h) by N . If FN and F^*N belong to $\Gamma(TM)$, then (M, h) is entitled to a tangential hypersurface. For any tangential hypersurface, we write $FN = \xi$, $F^*N = \xi^*$ and

$$FY = \varphi Y + \mu^*(Y)N, \quad (15)$$

$$F^*Y = \varphi^*Y + \mu(Y)N \quad (16)$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $\mu(Y) = h(Y, \xi)$ and $\mu^*(Y) = h(Y, \xi^*)$. Using (1), (15) and (16), we find the following relations:

$$h(\varphi Y_a, Y_b) = h(Y_a, \varphi^* Y_b), \quad (17)$$

$$h(\varphi Y_a, \varphi Y_b) = h(Y_a, Y_b) - \mu^*(Y_a)\mu(Y_b), \quad (18)$$

$$\varphi^2 Y_a = X - \mu^*(Y_a)\xi, \quad (19)$$

$$\eta^*(\varphi Y_a) = \mu(\varphi^* Y_a) = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$(\varphi^*)^2 Y_a = Y_a - \mu(Y_a)\xi^*. \quad (21)$$

The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are indicated by

$$\tilde{D}_{Y_a} Y_b = D_{Y_a} Y_b + h(A_N^* Y_a, Y_b)N, \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{D}_{Y_a} N = -A_N Y_a + \kappa(Y_a)N, \quad (23)$$

$$\tilde{D}_{Y_a}^* Y_b = D_{Y_a}^* Y_b + h(A_N Y_a, Y_b)N, \quad (24)$$

$$\tilde{D}_{Y_a}^* N = -A_N^* Y_a - \kappa(Y_a)N, \quad (25)$$

where $D_{Y_a} Y_b, D_{Y_a}^* Y_b \in \Gamma(TM)$, A_N, A_N^* are the shape operators with regard to \tilde{D}, \tilde{D}^* , respectively and κ is 1-form. A tangential hypersurface is entitled to totally geodesic with regard to \tilde{D} (resp. \tilde{D}^*) if $A_N = 0$ (resp. $A_N^* = 0$), totally umbilical with regard to \tilde{D} (resp. \tilde{D}^*) if there exists a smooth function λ such that $A_N Y_a = \lambda Y_a$ (resp. $A_N^* Y_a = \lambda Y_a$) holds [19].

The Gauss formulae of a statistical manifold is given by

$$R(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c = \tilde{R}(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c - h(A_N^* Y_a, Y_c)A_N Y_b + h(A_N^* Y_b, Y_c)A_N Y_a. \quad (26)$$

An almost product-like statistical manifold is said to have constant curvature v if

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c = v\{ & \tilde{h}(Y_b, Y_c)Y_a - \tilde{h}(Y_a, Y_c)Y_b + \tilde{h}(Y_b, FY_c)Y_a - \tilde{h}(Y_a, FY_c)Y_b + \tilde{h}(FY_a, Y_b)FY_c \\ & - \tilde{h}(Y_a, FY_b)FY_c\} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}^*(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c = v\{ & \tilde{h}(Y_b, Y_c)Y_a - \tilde{h}(Y_a, Y_c)Y_b + \tilde{h}(Y_b, F^*Y_c)Y_a - \tilde{h}(Y_a, F^*Y_c)FY_b \\ & + \tilde{h}(F^*Y_a, Y_b)F^*Y_c - \tilde{h}(Y_a, FY_b)F^*Y_c\} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

are satisfied [19].

4. Sectional curvatures

Let $\tilde{M}(v)$ be an almost product-like statistical manifold of constant curvature v and let (M, h) be an n -dimensional tangential hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$. Consider an orthonormal basis $\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n-1}, X\}$ such that we write $X = a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*$ for some function a, b defined on \tilde{M} . In this case, we obtain the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$TM = \mathbb{D}_0 \oplus \mathbb{D}_1, \quad (29)$$

where $\mathbb{D}_0 = \text{span}\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n-1}\}$ and $\mathbb{D}_1 = \text{span}\{X\}$. Thus, we have

$$[a(X)]^2\mu(\xi) + 2a(X)b(X) + [b(X)]^2\mu^*(\xi^*) = 1, \quad (30)$$

$$\mu^*(X) = a(X) + b(X)\mu^*(X)\xi^*, \quad (31)$$

$$\mu(X) = a(X)\mu(\xi) + b(X). \quad (32)$$

Lemma 4.1 For any tangential hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$, we have the following relations for each orthonormal vector fields $Y_1, Y_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y_a, Y_b)Y_b, Y_a) = v\{ & 1 + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_a)h(\varphi Y_b, Y_b) - 2h^2(\varphi^*Y_a, Y_b) \\ & + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_b)h(\varphi^*Y_a, Y_b)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y_a, Y_b)Y_b, Y_a) = v\{ & 1 + h(\varphi^*Y_a, Y_a)h(\varphi^*Y_b, Y_b) - 2h^2(\varphi Y_a, Y_b) \\ & + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_b)h(\varphi^*Y_a, Y_b)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y_a, \xi)\xi, Y_a) = v\mu(\xi), \quad (35)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y_a, \xi)\xi^*, Y_a) = \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y_a, \xi^*)\xi, Y_a) = v, \quad (36)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y_a, \xi^*)\xi^*, Y_a) = v\mu^*(\xi^*), \quad (37)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y_a, \xi)\xi, Y_a) = v\mu(\xi), \quad (38)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y_a, \xi^*)\xi, Y_a) = \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y_1, \xi)\xi^*, Y_a) = v, \quad (39)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y_a, \xi^*)\xi^*, Y_a) = v\mu^*(\xi^*). \quad (40)$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{M}(v)$ is an almost product-like statistical manifold of constant curvature v , we write

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c, Y_d) &= v\{\tilde{h}(Y_b, Y_c)\tilde{h}(Y_a, Y_d) - \tilde{h}(Y_a, Y_c)\tilde{h}(Y_b, Y_d) + \tilde{h}(Y_b, FY_c)\tilde{h}(FY_a, Y_d) \\ &\quad - \tilde{h}(Y_a, FY_c)\tilde{h}(FY_b, Y_d) + \tilde{h}(FY_a, Y_b)\tilde{h}(FY_c, Y_d) \\ &\quad - \tilde{h}(Y_a, FY_b)\tilde{h}(FY_c, Y_d)\}\end{aligned}\quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y_a, Y_b)Y_c, Y_d) &= v\{\tilde{h}(Y_b, Y_c)\tilde{h}(Y_a, Y_d) - \tilde{h}(Y_a, Y_c)\tilde{h}(Y_b, Y_d) + \tilde{h}(Y_b, \tilde{F}^*Y_c)\tilde{h}(\tilde{F}^*Y_a, Y_d) \\ &\quad - \tilde{h}(Y_a, \tilde{F}^*Y_c)\tilde{h}(\tilde{F}^*Y_b, Y_d) + \tilde{h}(\tilde{F}^*Y_a, Y_b)\tilde{h}(\tilde{F}^*Y_c, Y_d) \\ &\quad - \tilde{h}(Y_a, \tilde{F}^*Y_b)\tilde{h}(\tilde{F}^*Y_c, Y_d)\}.\end{aligned}\quad (42)$$

By a straightforward computation, the proofs of (33)-(40) are easy to follow. ■

Theorem 4.2 For any tangential hypersurface,

$$\tilde{K}(\pi) = \tilde{K}^*(\pi) = 0$$

is satisfied for any plane section π spanned by a vector field $\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$ and ξ or ξ^* if and only if $v = 0$.

Lemma 4.3 For any tangential hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$, we have the following relations for each unit vector fields $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$:

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, X)X, Y) = v, \quad (44)$$

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, X)X, Y) = v. \quad (45)$$

Proof. Putting Y instead of Y_1, Y_4 and X instead of Y_2, Y_3 in (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, X)X, Y) &= \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*)a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*, Y) \\ &= [a(X)]^2\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, X)X, Y) + a(X)b(X)\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, \xi)\xi^*, Y) \\ &\quad + a(X)b(X)\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, \xi^*)\xi, Y) + [b(X)]^2\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Y, \xi^*)\xi^*, Y).\end{aligned}\quad (46)$$

Substituting (33), (35), (36) and (37) into (46), we obtain (44). Writing Y instead of Y_a, Y_d and X instead of Y_b, Y_c in (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, X)X, Y) &= \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*)a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*, Y) \\ &= [a(X)]^2\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, X)X, Y) + a(X)b(X)\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, \xi)\xi^*, Y) \\ &\quad + a(X)b(X)\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, \xi^*)\xi, Y) + [b(X)]^2\tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Y, \xi^*)\xi^*, Y).\end{aligned}\quad (47)$$

From (30) and substituting (4), (38), (39) and (40) into (47), we obtain (45). ■

From Lemma 4.3, we find

Theorem 4.4 For any tangential hypersurface, we have

$$\tilde{K}(\pi) = \tilde{K}^*(\pi) \quad (48)$$

for any plane section π spanned by unit vector fields $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$.

Let $\pi = \text{span}\{Z_1, Z_2\}$ be a two-dimensional orthonormal plane section of $\Gamma(\text{TM})$. The K -sectional curvature is defined by [35]

$$\tilde{K}^s(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Z_1, Z_2)Z_2, Z_1) + \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Z_1, Z_2)Z_2, Z_1) - \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^0(Z_1, Z_2)Z_2, Z_1) \}. \quad (49)$$

We note that \tilde{K}^s is symmetric and independent of choosing linearly independent vector fields on plane section π .

Theorem 4.5 For any tangential hypersurface (M, h) of $\tilde{M}(v)$, the following relations are satisfied:

$$\tilde{K}^s(\pi_0) + \tilde{K}^0(\pi_0) = v \{ 1 + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_a)h(\varphi Y_b, Y_b) - h^2(\varphi Y_a, Y_b) - h^2(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_b) \\ h(\varphi Y_a, Y_b) + h(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_b) \}, \quad (50)$$

where $\pi_0 = \text{span}\{Y_a, Y_b\}$ for any $Y_a, Y_b \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$ and $\tilde{K}^0(\pi_0)$ indicates the sectional curvature of π_0 .

Proof. In view of (33), (34) and (49), it follows that

$$\tilde{K}^s(\pi_0) = \frac{v}{2} \{ 1 + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_a)h(\varphi Y_b, Y_b) - 2h^2(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_b) + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_b)h(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_b) \} \\ + \frac{v}{2} \{ 1 + h(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_a)h(\varphi^* Y_b, Y_b) - 2h^2(\varphi Y_a, Y_b) + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_b)h(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_b) \} \\ - \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^0(Z_1, Z_2)Z_2, Z_1),$$

which is in equivalent to (50). ■

Theorem 4.6 For any tangential hypersurface (M, h) of $\tilde{M}(v)$, the following relations are satisfied:

$$\tilde{K}^s(\pi_1) + \tilde{K}^0(\pi_1) = v, \quad (51)$$

where $\pi_1 = \text{span}\{X, \xi\}$ for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$ and $\tilde{K}^0(\pi_1)$ indicates the sectional curvature of π_1 .

Proof. The proof of (51) is straightforward based on (44), (45) and (49). ■

Theorem 4.7 Let (M, h) be a tangential hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$ and let π be a plane section spanned by ξ and ξ^* . Then, we have the following situations:

- i. $\tilde{K}^s(\pi) + \tilde{K}^0(\pi) > 0$ if and only if $v > 0$.
- ii. $\tilde{K}^s(\pi) + \tilde{K}^0(\pi) = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$.
- iii. $\tilde{K}^s(\pi) + \tilde{K}^0(\pi) < 0$ if and only if $v < 0$.

Proof. Substituting $a = 0$ and $b = 1$ into (51), we find

$$\tilde{K}^s(\pi) + \tilde{K}^0(\pi) = v\mu^*(\xi^*).$$

The proof is easy to obtain because $\mu^*(\xi^*) > 0$. ■

It is known that a space form with constant curvature \tilde{K}^0 is isometric to

- i. the Euclidean space if $\tilde{K}^0 = 0$,
- ii. a sphere if $\tilde{K}^0 > 0$,
- iii. the hyperbolic space if $\tilde{K}^0 < 0$.

As a result of Theorem 4.7, we get

Corollary 4.8 Let (M, h) be a tangential hypersurface of a Hessian manifold. Then, we have

- i. $\tilde{K}^s(\pi) > 0$ if and only if (M, h) is a hypersurface of the hyperbolic space.
- ii. (M, h) is a hypersurface of the Euclidean space.
- iii. (M, h) is a hypersurface of the sphere.

5. Ricci curvatures of tangential hypersurfaces

We begin this section with recalling sub-plane sections of Ricci curvatures of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{D}, F)$.

Let $\dim \tilde{M} = n + 1$ and π_l be an l – dimensional subsection of $\Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. Suppose that $\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_l\}$ is an orthonormal basis of π_l . Then, the Ricci curvature of π_l is defined as [2]

$$\tilde{Ric}_{\pi_l}^0(Z_m) = \sum_{j \neq m}^l \tilde{K}_{mj}^0. \quad (52)$$

Inspired by this definition, we write

$$\tilde{Ric}_{\pi_l}(Z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}(Z_m, Z_j)Z_j, Z_m), \quad (53)$$

$$\tilde{Ric}_{\pi_l}^*(Z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{h}(\tilde{R}^*(Z_m, Z_j)Z_j, Z_m) \quad (54)$$

and

$$\tilde{Ric}_{\pi_l}^s(Z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{K}_{mj}^s. \quad (55)$$

We call $\tilde{Ric}_{\pi_l}^s$ the s – Ricci curvature of π_l . For $l = n$, $\pi_l = T_p M$ for $p \in M$ and

$$\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^0(Z_m) = \sum_{j \neq m}^n \widetilde{K}_{mj}^0, \quad (56)$$

$$\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}(Z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{h}(\widetilde{R}(Z_m, Z_j)Z_j, Z_m), \quad (57)$$

$$\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^*(Z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{h}(\widetilde{R}^*(Z_m, Z_j)Z_j, Z_m) \quad (58)$$

and

$$\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(Z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{K}_{mj}^s. \quad (59)$$

We call $\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s$ the s -Ricci curvature of $T_p M$.

Lemma 5.1 For any tangential hypersurface of $\widetilde{M}(v)$, we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}(Y_a) &= v\{n+1 + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_a)[\text{trace } \varphi - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi \xi^*, \xi)] - 2\|\varphi^* Y_a\|^4 \\ &\quad - 2[a(X)]^2 h^2(\varphi^* Y_a, \xi^*) - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi Y_a, \xi)h(\varphi^* Y_a, \xi^*)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^*(Y_a) &= v\{n+1 + h(\varphi^* Y_a, Y_a)[\text{trace } \varphi^* - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi^* \xi, \xi^*)] - 2\|\varphi Y_a\|^4 \\ &\quad - 2[a(X)]^2 h^2(\varphi Y_a, \xi) - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi Y_a, \xi)h(\varphi^* Y_a, \xi^*)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}(X) &= v \left\{ n-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_j, Z_j)h(\varphi \xi^*, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi^* Z_j, \xi^*)h(\varphi^* \xi, Z_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [a(X)]^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi^* Z_j, \xi)h(\varphi Z_j, \xi) - 2[a(X)]^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h^2(\varphi Z_j, \xi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^*(X) &= v \left\{ n-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi^* Z_j, Z_j)h(\varphi^* \xi, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_j, \xi)h(\varphi \xi^*, Z_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [a(X)]^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi^* Z_j, \xi)h(\varphi Z_j, \xi) - 2[a(X)]^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h^2(\varphi^* Z_j, \xi) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

for each unit vector fields $Y_1 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$.

Proof. Let $\{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n-1}\}$ be an orthonormal frame field of $\Gamma(TM)$. From (33), (44) and (57), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}(Z_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{h}(\widetilde{R}(Z_1, Z_j)Z_j, Z_1) + \widetilde{h}(\widetilde{R}(Z_1, X)X, Z_1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v\{2 + h(\varphi Z_1, Z_1)h(\varphi Z_j, Z_j) - 2h^2(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) + h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j)h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j)\} \\
&= v\left\{2(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_1)h(\varphi Z_j, Z_j) - 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h^2(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j)h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j)\right\}. \tag{64}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_j, Z_j) + h(\varphi X, X) - h(\varphi X, X)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\varphi X, X) &= h(\varphi(a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*), a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*) \\
&= a(X)b(X)h(\varphi\xi^*, \xi),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_j, Z_j) = \text{trace } \varphi - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi\xi^*, \xi). \tag{65}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) + h(\varphi^* Z_1, X) - h(\varphi^* Z_1, X),$$

$$h(\varphi^* Z_1, X) = h(\varphi^* Z_1, a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*) = b(X)h(\varphi^* Z_1, \xi^*).$$

From the above equations, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h^2(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) = \|\varphi^* Z_1\|^4 - b^2(X)h^2(\varphi^* Z_1, \xi^*), \tag{66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j)h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j)h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) + h(\varphi Z_1, X)h(\varphi^* Z_1, X) \\
&\quad - h(\varphi Z_1, X)h(\varphi^* Z_1, X),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\varphi Z_1, X)h(\varphi^* Z_1, X) &= h(\varphi Z_1, a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*)h(\varphi^* Z_1, a(X)\xi + b(X)\xi^*) \\
&= a(X)b(X)h(\varphi Z_1, \xi)h(\varphi^* Z_1, \xi^*),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j) h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) = 1 - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi Z_1, \xi)h(\varphi^* Z_1, \xi^*). \quad (67)$$

By considering (65), (66) and (67) in (64), we find (60). The proofs of (61), (62) and (63) can be obtained using a similar proof technique of (60). ■

Lemma 5.2 For any tangential hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(Z_1) &= v\{n + 1 + h(\varphi Z_1, Z_1)[\text{trace } \varphi - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi \xi^*, \xi)] + \|\varphi Z_1\|^4 \\ &\quad - [b(X)]^2 h^2(\varphi Z_1, \xi) + \|\varphi^* Z_1\|^4 - [b(X)]^2 h^2(\varphi^* Z_1, \xi) \\ &\quad - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi Z_1, \xi)h(\varphi^* Z_1, \xi^*)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Proof. From (56) and (59), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(Z_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{K}_{1j}^s + \tilde{K}(Z_1, X) \\ &= v \left\{ n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_1) h(\varphi Z_j, Z_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h^2(\varphi Z_1, Z_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h^2(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h(\varphi Z_1, Z_j) h(\varphi^* Z_1, Z_j) \right\} - \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^0(Z_1). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Using a technique similar to that of Lemma 5.1, the proof of (68) is straightforward. ■

Theorem 5.3 Let (M, h) be an n –dimensional hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric^0(Y_a) &\leq \frac{1}{4} n^2 \|H\|^2 + v\{n + 1 + h(\varphi Y_a, Y_a)[\text{trace } \varphi - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi \xi^*, \xi)] + \|\varphi Y_a\|^4 \\ &\quad - [b(X)]^2 h^2(\varphi Y_a, \xi) + \|\varphi^* Y_a\|^4 - [b(X)]^2 h^2(\varphi^* Y_a, \xi) \\ &\quad - a(X)b(X)h(\varphi Y_a, \xi)h(\varphi^* Y_a, \xi^*)\} \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

for each unit vector field $Y_a \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$. The equality case (70) is satisfied for each unit vector field $Y_a \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$ if and only if M is \mathbb{D}_0 –geodesic or $n = 2$ and M is \mathbb{D}_0 –totally umbilical.

Proof. Using (68) in (7), (70) is satisfied for any $Y_a \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0)$. In the equality case, we obtain from Theorem 2.1 (Chen-Ricci inequality) that $A_N^0 = 0$ on \mathbb{D}_0 or there is a smooth function λ such that $A_N^0 Y_a = \lambda Y_a$. This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 5.4 For any tangential hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$, we have for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$ that

$$\widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(X) + \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^0(X) = (n - 1)v. \quad (71)$$

Theorem 5.5 Let (M, g) be an n –dimensional hypersurface of $\tilde{M}(v)$, we have

$$Ric^0(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 + (n-1)v - \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(X) \quad (72)$$

for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$. The equality case of (72) is satisfied for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1) \Leftrightarrow M$ is \mathbb{D}_1 -geodesic or $n = 2$ and M is \mathbb{D}_1 -totally umbilical.

Proof. From (71) in (7), we find (72) is satisfied for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$. From Theorem 2.1 (Chen-Ricci inequality), we obtain $A_N^0 = 0$ on \mathbb{D}_1 or $n = 2$ and there is a smooth function λ such that $A_N^0 X = \lambda X$. This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 5.6 Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional hypersurface of a Hessian manifold. Then, we have

$$Ric^0(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2\|H\|^2 - \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(X) \quad (73)$$

for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$. The equality case of (73) is satisfied for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1) \Leftrightarrow M$ is \mathbb{D}_1 -geodesic or $n = 2$ and M is \mathbb{D}_1 -totally umbilical.

Corollary 5.7 Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional minimal hypersurface of a Hessian manifold. Then, we have

$$Ric^0(X) \geq \widetilde{Ric}_{T_p M}^s(X) \quad (74)$$

for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1)$. The equality case of (74) is satisfied for each unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_1) \Leftrightarrow M$ is \mathbb{D}_1 -geodesic or $n = 2$ and M is \mathbb{D}_1 -totally umbilical.

References

- [1] Chen, B.-Y., "Strings of Riemannian invariants, inequalities, ideal immersions and their applications", In: The Third Pacific Rim Geometry Conference (Seoul 1996), Int. Press, Cambridge MA 25 (1998) : 7–60.
- [2] Chen, B.-Y., "Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions", Glasgow Mathematical Journal 41 (1999) : 33–41.
- [3] Chen, B.-Y., "On Ricci curvature of isotropic and Langrangian submanifolds in complex space forms", Archiv der Mathematik (Basel) 74 (2000) : 154–160.
- [4] Chen, B.-Y., "Pseudo-Riemannian geometry, δ -invariants and applications", World Scientific (2011).
- [5] Suceava B. D., Vajiac M. B., "Remarks on Chen's fundamental inequality with classical curvature invariants in Riemannian spaces", Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Alexandru Ioan Cuza" Din Iasi. Mathematics (NS) 54 (2008) : 27–37.
- [6] Chen B.-Y, Blaga A. M., Vilcu G-E., "Differential geometry of submanifolds in complex space forms involving δ -invariants", Mathematics 10 (2022): 591.
- [7] Akyol M. A., Demir R., Poyraz N. Ö., Vilcu, G-E., "Optimal inequalities for hemi-slant Riemannian submersions", Mathematics 10 (2022) : 3993.

- [8] Özgür C., Tripathi M. M., "On submanifolds satisfying Chen's equality in a real space form", *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* 33(2A) (2008) : 321-330.
- [9] Gülbahar M., Kılıç, E. Keleş, S., "A useful orthonormal basis on bi-slant submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds", *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics* 47 (2) (2016) : 143-161.
- [10] Sarı R., Ünal İ. "On curvatures of semi-invariant submanifolds of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds", *Turkish Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 15 (2) (2023) : 464-469.
- [11] Amari, S. "Information geometry of the EM and em algorithms for neural networks", *Neural Networks* 8 (9) (1995): 1379–1408.
- [12] Balan V., Grushevskaya H.V., Krylova N.G., Krylov G.G., Lipnevich I., "Two dimensional first-order phase transition as signature change event in contact statistical manifolds with Finsler metric", *Applied Sciences* 21 (2019) : 11–26.
- [13] Caticha A., "Geometry from information geometry", *AIP Conference Proceedings*, AIP Publishing 1757 (1) (2016).
- [14] Sun K., Marchand-Maillet S., "An information geometry of statistical manifold learning", *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-2014)*, (2014) : 1-9.
- [15] Rao C. R., "Information and accuracy attainable in the estimation of statistical parameters", *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society* 37 (1945) : 81-91.
- [16] Aytimur H., Kon M., Mihai A., Özgür, C., Takano, K., "Chen inequalities for statistical submanifolds of Kaehler-like statistical manifolds", *Mathematics* 7 (12) (2019) : 1202.
- [17] Bahadır O., Siddiqui A. N., Gülbahar M., Alkhaldi, A. H., "Main curvatures identities on lightlike hypersurfaces of statistical manifolds and their characterizations", *Mathematics* 10 (13) (2022) : 2290.
- [18] Erkan E., Gülbahar M., "Chen's basic inequalities for hypersurfaces of statistical Riemannian manifolds", *International Journal of Maps in Mathematics* 6 (1) (2023) : 37-53.
- [19] Erkan E., Takano K., Gülbahar M., "Locally product-like statistical manifolds and their hypersurfaces", *International Electronic Journal of Geometry*, 16 (2) (2023) : 435-450.
- [20] Feng W. U., Jiang Y., Zhang L., "Some results on statistical hypersurfaces of Sasakian statistical manifolds and holomorphic statistical manifolds", *International Electronic Journal of Geometry* 14 (1) (2021) : 46-58.
- [21] Furuhashi H., "Hypersurfaces in statistical manifolds", *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 27 (3) (2009): 420-429.
- [22] Furuhashi H., "Statistical hypersurfaces in the space of Hessian curvature zero", *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 29 (1) (2011): S86-S90.
- [23] Siddiqui A. N., Shahid M. H., "Optimizations on statistical hypersurfaces with Casorati curvatures", *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics* 45 (3) (2021): 449-463.
- [24] Kazan S., Takano K. "Anti-invariant holomorphic statistical submersions", *Results in Mathematics* 78 (128) (2023) : 1-18.
- [25] Adati T., "Submanifolds of an almost product Riemannian manifold", *Kodai Mathematical Journal* 4 (2) (1981): 327-343.
- [26] Atçeken M., Şahin B., Kılıç E., "On invariant submanifolds of Riemannian warped product manifold", *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 27 (3) (2003) : 407-423.

- [27] Gündüzalp Y., "Slant submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds", *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 37 (5) (2013) : 863-873.
- [28] Gündüzalp Y., "Semi-slant submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds", *Demonstratio Mathematica* 49 (3) (2016) : 345-356.
- [29] Gündüzalp Y., "Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds", *Mathematical Sciences and Applications E-Notes* 1 (1) (2013) : 58-66.
- [30] Li Y., Alkhaldi A. H., Ali A., "Geometric mechanics on warped product semi-slant submanifold of generalized complex space forms", *Advances in Mathematical Physics* (2021) : 1-15.
- [31] Li Y., Srivastava S. K., Mofarreh F., Kumar A., Ali A., "Ricci Soliton of CR-Warped Product Manifolds and Their Classifications", *Symmetry* 15 (5) (2023): 976.
- [32] Rahman S., Haseeb A., Almusawa H., "Geometry of warped product pseudo slant submanifolds in a nearly quasi-Sasakian manifold", *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 48 (2022) : 1021–1032.
- [33] Şahin B., Atçeken M., "Semi-invariant submanifolds of Riemannian product manifold", *Balkan Journal of Geometry and its applications* 8 (1) (2023) : 91-100.
- [34] Şahin B., "Slant submanifolds of an almost product Riemannian manifold", *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society* 43 (4) (2006) : 717-732.
- [35] Opozda B., "A sectional curvature for statistical structures", *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 497 (2016) : 134-161.