

Preliminary Insights into the Phylogeny of Colchicum Species

Ezgi ÇABUK ŞAHİN

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Marmara University, 34722, Istanbul, Türkiye https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1505-5752 : ezgi.sahin@marmara.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

Colchicum has played a vital role in the field of medicine due to its inherent properties, with its importance extending from the past to the present. DNA barcoding is an effective tool for the identification and conservation of plant species. This study tested the application of the 18S rRNA gene for the phylogenetic construction of Colchicum species. The phylogenetic UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) tree and STRUCTURE analysis were conducted to assess the consistency of the results for 33 Colchicum specimens and one outgroup species, utilizing the 18S rRNA partial gene. The results of the study showed that DNA barcoding using 18S rRNA was an effective method for identifying Colchicum species, with 97.76% of the tested sequences being successfully determined. This study contributes to the field of phylogenetic analysis of Colchicum species by illustrating the utility of the 18S rRNA gene for phylogenetic construction, underscoring its significance in advancing our understanding of plant genetics. The nucleotide sequences obtained for species identification in this study were uploaded to the barcode of life data system (BOLD), contributing to internationally accessible genetic data.

Colchicum Türlerinin Filogenisine İlişkin Ön Bilgiler

ÖZET

Colchicum, sahip olduğu doğal özellikleri nedeniyle tıp alanında önemli bir rol oynamış olup, önemi geçmişten günümüze kadar uzanmaktadır. DNA barkodlama, bitki türlerinin tanımlanması ve korunması için etkili bir araçtır. Bu çalışma, Colchicum türlerinin filogenetik yapısının oluşturulmasında 18S rRNA geninin uygulamasını test etmiştir. 33 Colchicum örneği ve bir dış grup türü kullanılarak, 18S rRNA kısmi geni ile filogenetik UPGMA (aritmetik ortalama ile ağırlıksız çift grup yöntemi) ağacı ve STRUCTURE analizi yapılmıştır. Çalışmanın sonuçları, 18S rRNA kullanılarak yapılan DNA barkodlamanın Colchicum türlerinin tanımlanmasında etkili bir yöntem olduğunu ve test edilen dizilerin %97.76'sının başarılı bir şekilde belirlendiğini göstermiştir. Bu çalışma, 18S rRNA geninin filogenetik yapı oluşturmadaki faydasını göstererek Colchicum türlerinin filogenetik analizine katkıda bulunmakta ve bitki genetiğini anlama konusunda ilerlememize olanak sağlamaktadır. Tür tanımlaması için elde edilen nükleotid dizileri, uluslararası erişilebilir genetik verilere katkıda bulunmak amacıyla yaşam barkodu veri sistemine (BOLD) yüklenmiştir.

Plant Biotechnology

Research Article

Article History

Received : 18.07.2024 Accepted : 12.10.2024

Keywords

Colchicum L. DNA barcoding 18S rRNA gene Phylogenetic analysis Taxonomic identification

Bitki Biyoteknolojisi

Araştırma Makalesi

Makale Tarihçesi

Geliş Tarihi : 18.07.2024 Kabul Tarihi : 12.10.2024

Anahtar Kelimeler

Colchicum L. DNA barkodlama 18S rRNA geni Filogenetik analiz Taksonomik tanımlama

Atif İçin : Çabuk Şahin, E., (2024). Preliminary Insights into the Phylogeny of Colchicum Species. KSÜ Tarım ve Doğa Derg 27 (Ek Sayı 2), 351-360. DOI: 10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi.1518459. To Cite: Çabuk Şahin, E., (2024). Preliminary Insights into the Phylogeny of Colchicum Species. KSU J. Agric Nat 27

(Suppl 2), 351-360. DOI: 10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi.1518459.

INTRODUCTION

Colchicum, a genus of herbaceous plants belonging to the Liliaceae family, is commonly utilized both as an ornamental species and for its medicinal properties, primarily due to the presence of alkaloids. In today's medical field, it assumes a vital role in addressing many global diseases, whether used in isolation or conjunction with different pharmaceuticals (Toplan et al., 2016). In addition to alkaloids, Colchicum species also include flavonoids, phenolic acids, tannins, and fatty acids (Hailu et al., 2021). Colchicum species face several challenges in terms of conservation. The loss of their habitats due to urbanization and land development, as well as agricultural activities, poses a significant threat to their survival. Additionally, overharvesting for medicinal purposes has led to a decline in wild populations, and illegal trade further jeopardizes rare and endangered Colchicum species. Climate change also poses a significant threat to their habitats, with disruptions in precipitation patterns and extreme weather events affecting their survival (Chandra, 2016; Rather et al., 2022).

Beyond the preservation of biodiversity, accurate taxonomic identification carries considerable significance in the domain of herbal or traditional medicine (Techen et al., 2014). In response to the challenges posed by traditional taxonomy, various genomic methods have been suggested. Among these, DNA barcoding, initially introduced by Hebert et al. (2003) has proven highly effective in both the determination of existing species and the discovery of unknown ones. DNA barcoding employs short, uniform, and variable DNA regions (<1000 bp) to assess and classify species (Savolainen et al., 2005). Due to its simplicity and greater accuracy compared to the difficulties and subjectivity involved in morphology-based taxonomy classification, DNA barcoding is growing in popularity (Kress, 2017). While the *cytochrome* c *oxidase* 1 (*CO1*) gene in the mitochondrial genome is widely accepted as a universal barcode marker for animals, an equivalent universal barcode for plants remains to be identified (Kress & Erickson, 2008). In the realm of plant life, the employment of DNA barcoding is not ideally suited due to its slow progression and limited variation. As a result, the search for acceptable plant barcode regions has shifted to the nuclear and chloroplast genomes, which have higher substitution rates (Hollingsworth et al., 2011). Due to their ability to distinguish between different plant species, various potential regions, including matK, rbcL, trnH-psbA, trnL-F, ITS, 18S rRNA, and 5S rRNA, have been extensively studied (Mishra et al., 2016).

Barcoding efforts focus on the region comprising the V4 hypervariable domain of the 18S rRNA gene, which is roughly 400 base pairs (bp) in length and is highly variable, making it useful for species-level identification. They are widely used markers for plants concerning their discrimination capacity, and due to their conserved nature, and their widespread availability across plant taxa (Srivastava et al., 2016). The first 18S rRNA sequences of angiosperms were of maize (Messing et al., 1984), rice (Takaiwa et al., 1984), soybean (Eckenrode et al., 1985), and Poaceae (Hamby & Zimmer, 1988). Also, the study that provides a comprehensive comparison of the 18S RNA and rbcL sequences of various plant species (Nickrent & Soltis, 1995) and concludes that 18S rDNA sequences provide an adequate dataset for conducting phylogenetic analyses at more comprehensive taxonomic levels within angiosperms (Soltis et al., 1997) provided a framework for future studies on selecting the 18rRNA gene for phylogenetic analysis and DNA barcoding in plants.

UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) is a widely used method in phylogenetic analysis for constructing dendrograms based on genetic distance. The utility of the UPGMA method in phylogenetic and population structure analyses has been demonstrated in various studies, underscoring its relevance for diverse plant taxa (Demirel et al., 2022; Karakan et al., 2024). In this preliminary study, the UPGMA method was employed to construct the phylogenetic relationships among 33 Colchicum specimens using nucleotide sequences from the 18S rRNA gene region, and STRUCTURE analysis was used to validate the phylogenetic tree data with population structure. The 18S rRNA gene is chosen for phylogenetic studies due to its highly conserved nature, which allows for the comparison of evolutionary relationships across diverse taxa. Its relatively slow rate of evolution provides stable and reliable markers for inferring deeper evolutionary lineages and relationships among species.

MATERIAL and METHOD Plant material

In this preliminary study, three individuals from each of the 11 Colchicum species were collected to provide an initial insight into the genetic diversity within the genus. Detailed information on the specimens, including the season of collection, is provided in Table 1. All specimens were supplied by the Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Ataturk Horticultural Central Research Institute in Yalova. As an outgroup, Androcymbium dregei (obtained from GenBank with the accession number: JQ405011.1) from the Colchicaceae family was used. The choice of Androcymbium dregei as an outgroup was based on its phylogenetic distance within the family, providing a robust reference for comparative analysis.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

Genomic DNA from leaf tissue was extracted using the CTAB method developed by Doyle & Doyle (1987). Partial 18S rRNA gene amplifications by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were performed using 2 ng total genomic DNA as a template in a 25 μL reaction mixture, consisting of PCR buffer (1 X), 4 mmol/L MgCl2, 0.8 mmol/L each primer, 0,2 mmol/L each dNTP, and 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase. Primers designed using Primer3, flanking the 18S rRNA gene regions, are as follows:18S rRNA Forward: 5'- ATT GTG ACG GAG CCG GGC GAG G -3', 18S rRNA Reverse: 5'- CGG AGT TTG GTG GGG ACG TAC C -3'; The PCR program employed in this study followed these steps: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 minutes, followed by 39 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 53°C

for 1 minute, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. The process concluded with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 minutes. The PCR amplifications were carried out on a Veriti™ 96-Well Fast Thermal Cycler. The PCR products were visualized using electrophoresis on agarose gel (1.5%).

Table 1. Genotype codes and seasonal information of 11 *Colchicum* species were used in this study. Çizelge 1. Çalışmada kullanılan 11 Colchicum türünün genotip kodları ve mevsimsel bilgileri.

N _o	Species	Genotype Code	Season
1		C4803A	
$\sqrt{2}$	C. balansae Planchon	C4810	Autumn
$\boldsymbol{3}$		C4821	
$\overline{4}$		C0730	
5	C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell	C0740	Late-autumn
$\,6$		C0748	
$\overline{7}$		C4819	
8	C. macrophyllum B.L. Burtt	C4822	Autumn
9		C4823	
10		C0605	
11	C. bivonae Guss.	C1412	Autumn
12		C1703	
13		C0749	
14	C. boissieri Orph.	C0751	Autumn
15		C3503	
16		C3304	
17	C. cilicicum (Boiss.) Dammer	C5103	Autumn
18		C5104	
19		C ₂₇₁₀	
20	C. polyphyllum Boiss. & Heldr.	C3108	Autumn
21		C3308	
22		C0101	
23	C. serpentinum Woron. ex Miscz.	C4227	Winter
24		C ₄₆₀₁	
$25\,$		C ₂₈₀₂	
${\bf 26}$	C. speciosum Steven	C3701	Autumn
27		C5301	
28		C0733	
29	C. stevenii Kunth	C0735	Late-autumn
$30\,$		C0765	
31		C ₂₀₀₃	
32	C. burttii Meikle	C4801	Winter
33		C4814	

Purification of PCR products was carried out by the 'ChargeSwitch-Pro PCR Clean-up Purification' protocol. Cycle sequencing reactions were performed using the "Big Dye-Kit Standard" protocol. DNA sequencing was performed with the "ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer" sequencing system.

Sequence analysis

After the sequencing step, the chromatograms acquired were subjected to further examination through Geneious Prime version 2023.2.1. To ensure the accuracy of each sequence, a comprehensive examination of the peaks corresponding to individual nucleotides was conducted, followed by the generation of a consensus sequence after eliminating segments of lower quality. The molecular taxonomic identity of the resulting consensus sequence was authenticated using the nucleotide blast tool (BLASTN - basic local alignment search tool-nucleotide) available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). This tool assesses nucleotide/protein sequences by comparing them to sequence databases,

determining identities based on percentage similarity, and considering the E-value.

The phylogenetic analysis was performed in the "CLC Genomics Workbench 23.0.2" program by the "UPGMA" procedure, using the "Kimura 80" model of evolution with confidence measured through 1000 replicates of bootstrap.

For population structure analysis based on 18S rRNA sequence information, the determination of SNPs was first performed in the "Mega 6" program. STRUCTURE analysis was conducted using the software application "STRUCTURE (version 2.3.4)" to categorize the genotypes based on the approach proposed by Pritchard et al. (2000). During this analysis, various models (K=1-10) were tested after 100,000 iterations of the markov chain monte carlo (MCMC) algorithm, along with customized statistical methods, to identify the most suitable population count. In addition, each model was performed 10 times with 10.000 length burning period. To calculate the ΔK value of the populations, "STRUCTURE HARVESTER" (Earl & Vonholdt, 2012) software was used, and the number of subpopulations was identified. For the K and ΔK values obtained for this purpose, the data determines the optimum K value based on the probability value reached (Evanno et al., 2005). The genotypes that have a probability ≥ 0.80 are classified as 'pure lineage', whereas those with a probability ≤0.80 are classified as 'mixed ancestry'.

RESULTS

PCR amplification and sequencing

The PCR products obtained from the amplification of the 18S rRNA gene region were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 1). Clear bands of approximately 200 bp were observed for all samples. These results indicate that the target gene region was successfully amplified and that the PCR conditions used in the study were reliable.

Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products for the 18S rRNA gene region of Colchicum specimens (1-33). M: molecular weight marker (100-3000 bp); NC: negative control.

Şekil 1. Colchicum örneklerinin (1-33) 18S rRNA gen bölgesine ait PCR ürünlerinin agaroz jel elektroforezi. M: moleküler ^ağırlık marker^ı (100-3000 bp); NC: negatif kontrol.

The sequence information for 33 Colchicum specimens is given in Appendix 1. The lengths of the 18S rRNA sequences used for the analyses ranged from 131 to 145 bp. GC content is 52.5%. The identification of species was carried out through nucleotide blast, where the highest similarity score reached 97.76%, and the E value was minimal at 8e-56. The sequencing data has been registered in the BOLD data system under the CSDB project code [\(www.boldsystems.org\)](http://www.boldsystems.org/).

Before performing the phylogenetic analyses, a multiple sequence alignment was conducted to assess the genetic variation among the Colchicum species, as shown in Figure 2. This figure presents the multiple sequence alignment of various *Colchicum* species, highlighting the nucleotide variations within the 18S rRNA region. This alignment provides insights into the genetic diversity among the species and supports the efficacy of 18S rRNA as a suitable barcode for species identification.

Phylogenetic analysis

UPGMA tree based on 18S rRNA sequence data

identified 33 *Colchicum* specimens, and each was well supported with high bootstrap values (50%-100%). The phylogenetic tree (Figure 3) was grouped by seasonal state, with each group represented by a specific color. Additionally, to distinguish individual species, the nodes were color-coded, with each species assigned a unique color.

The phylogenetic tree provided data for the classification of Colchicum species into two primary groups, labeled as Group I and Group II, with two subgroups within each major group. IA-IB and IIA-IIB, respectively (Figure 3). The IA subgroup contains C . boissieri Orph., C. cilicicum; the IB subgroup contains C. bivonae Guss.; the IIA subgroup contains C . polyphyllum Boiss. & Heldr.; the IIB group is divided into two branches: IIC and IID. The IIC subgroup contains C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell, C. stevenii; the IID group is also divided into two branches: The IIE and IIF. The IIE subgroup contains C. burttii, C. serpentinum Woron. ex Miscz.; the IIF subgroup contains C. speciosum Steven, C. macrophyllum B.L. Burtt, C. balansae Planchon.

C.balansae(C4803A)	C A G	GAT	CAT	CAGAGCGGCGGCGAAGCCCGCGTCGGCCT		AATAAATGCG	CCCC-ACCAAA-CTCCGA- TCCAGAAGTCGGGGTTTGTTGCACGTATTAGCTCTAGAATTACTACGGTTAT CCGAGTAGGTACGT
C.balansae(C4810)	CAG			CAGAGCGGCGGGCGAAGCCCGCGTCGGC			ACCAAA-CTCCGA-
C.balansae(C4821	CAGI	$G \Delta$		CAGAGCGGCGGGCGAAGCCCGCGTCGGCCT		AATAAATGCGT	CCCC-ACCAAA-CTCCGA- ATTAGCTCTAGAAT TCCAGAAGTCGGGGTTTGTTGCACGT C G T
C.baytopiorum(C073							CTCCGA ATTAGCTCTAGAA GITGCACGI
C.baytopiorum(C0740)	A _G						CTCCGA- TGCACG -61
C.baytopiorum(C0748)				CAGAGCGGCGGCGAAGCCCGCGTCGGCC			CTCCGA- ATTAGCTCTAGAAT TGTTGCACGT CGGGGTT
C.macrophyllum(C4819)		GA.		GAGCGGCGGGCGAAGCCCGCG		CGGCCT	ACCAAA - CTCCGA : CAGAAGT C GGGGT T T GT T GC A C GT A T T A GC T C T A GA A ' ACTACGGT C G T
C.macrophyllum(C4822)				COCOOCOO AAGCCCOCO			CTCCGA- T C C A G A A G T C G G G G T T T G T T G C A C G T A T T A G C T C T A G A A T T A C T
C.macrophyllum/C4823				CAGAGCGGCGGGCGAAGCCCGCGTCGGCCT			CCCC-ACCAAA-CTCCGA- AATAAATGCGTCCCTTCCAGAAGTCGGGGTTTGTTGCACGTATTAGCTCTAGAATTACTACGGT CCGAGTAGGTACGT T A T
C.bivonae(C0605)	CAT						CTCCGA- GTTGCA
C.bivonae(C1412)	CA	G.A	T C A 1				$-$ CTCCGA- \sim C \sim
C.bivonae(C1703		CATGATTCAT		CAGAGCGGCGGGCGAAGCCCGC			CTCCGA GTTGCACG1
C.boissieri(C0749)				GGAGCGGCGGGCG	GCCCGCGT		TAGCTCT CAGAAGI GITGCACG
C.boissieri(C0751			CATC	GGAGCGGCGGGCGA		GCCCGCGTCGGCCT AATAAATGCGT	CCCTTCCAGAAGTCGGGGTTTGTTGCACGTATTAGCTCTAGAATTACTACGGTTATCCGAGTAGGTA
C.boissieri(C3503				AGCGGCGGGC	GCCCGCG		
C.cilicicum(C3304)				GCGGCGGGCGA		GCCCGCGTCGGCCT	TGTTGCACGTATTAGCTCTAGAATTAC1 CCGGA TCCAGAAGI ACGGT C G G G F TATCCGAGTAGGT
C.cilicicum(C5103)				GCGGCGGGCGA	GCCCGCGTCGGCC		C C G G A ATTAGCTCTAGAA GITGCACG
C.cilicicum(C5104)				GCGGCGGGCG	GCCCGCC		CCGGA
C.polyphyllum(C2710		$T GAT - C A T$					CTCCGA- TGTTGCACGT
C.polyphyllum(C3108		GAT.					CTCCGA-
C.polyphyllum(C3308		GAT.					CTCCGA
C.serpentinum(C010 C.serpentinum(C4227							CCGA- $CCGA-$ GITGCACG
C.serpentinum/C4601	GAT						CCGA
C.speciosum(C2802)							CCGA-
C.speciosum/C3701							CTCCGA- GITGCACG
C.speciosum(C530							CCGA-
C.stevenii(C0733)							CCGA-
C.stevenii/C0735 C.stevenii(C0765)	TAG						CTCCGA- CCGA-
C.burttii(C2003)	AGA						CCGA-
C.burttii(C4801)	AGA1	GA		CAGAGCGGCGGGCGAAGCCCGCGTCGGCC			CTCCGA- TCCAGAAGTCGGGGTTTGTTGCACGT
C.burttii(C4814)				AGAT GAT - CAT CAGAGC GGC GGGC GAAGC CCGC GT CGGCCT			TTTATCTAATAAATGCOTCCCTTCCAGAAGTCGGGGTTTGTTGCACGTATTAGCTCTAGAATTACTACGGTTATCCGAGTAGGTACGTCCCC-ACCAAA- CTCCGA-

Figure 2. Sequence alignment of *Colchicum* species based on 18S rRNA region. The alignment shows conserved regions shaded, with nucleotide variations marked in yellow.

Şekil 2. 18S rRNA bölgesine dayalı Colchicum türlerinin dizi hizalaması. Hizalamada korunan bölgeler gölgeli olarak gösterilmiş olup, nükleotid varyasyonları sar^ı ile işaretlenmiştir.

- Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of 33 Colchicum specimens constructed using UPGMA cluster analysis based on 18S rRNA sequences. Androcymbium dregei from Colchicaceae was used as the outgroup. The color-coded labels indicate the flowering season of each specimen (Autumn, Late-autumn, Winter, and Unknown). Bootstrap values are provided at the nodes to support the clustering.
- Şekil 3. 33 Colchicum örneğinin 18S rRNA dizilimlerine dayal^ı olarak UPGMA kümeleme analizi kullanılarak oluşturulan filogenetik ağacı. Colchicaceae familyasından Androcymbium dregei dış grup olarak kullanılmıştır. Etiketlerde kullanılan renkler, her bir örneğin çiçeklenme mevsimini (Sonbahar, Geç sonbahar, Kış ve Bilinmeyen) göstermektedir. Düğümler üzerinde yer alan bootstrap değerleri kümelenme desteğini göstermektedir.

Structure analysis

The model displaying the greatest ΔK value was chosen as the optimal model for characterizing the population. A genetic identity threshold of ≥ 0.80 was adopted to distinguish genotypes as subpopulation individuals within the selected best model (K). Genotypes falling below this threshold were not included in any specific subpopulation and were regarded as genetically mixed individuals (Fukunaga et al., 2005).

In this study, the population structure of the 33 Colchicum specimens with the peak of delta K was observed at $K = 3$, indicating the presence of three main populations (clusters, Q1–Q3) (Figure 4a). 33 Colchicum specimens have membership coefficients of 0.80 and higher and are therefore likely to be pure. The classification of accessions into populations based on this model-based structure is shown in Figure 4b. The STRUCTURE analysis corroborated the findings of the dendrogram analysis, revealing the presence of three distinct clusters within the population. Out of the 33 specimens, there were 3 genotypes in the first subpopulation (19, 20, 21), 12 genotypes in the second subpopulation (28, 6, 9, 1, 5, 29, 4, 3, 30, 8, 2, 7), and 6 genotypes in the third subpopulation (13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18). The first subpopulation consists of 10 genotypes (11, 31, 33, 22, 32, 12, 10, 27, 26, 25) and is a combination of genotypes from both the first and second populations. The two remaining genotypes in the second subpopulation, 23 and 24, are a combination of the genotypes from the first and second populations. The combination of genotypes from both the first and second populations includes 10 genotypes (11, 31, 33, 22, 32, 12, 10, 27, 26, 25), while the remaining two genotypes, 23 and 24, are also a blend of genotypes from the first and second populations.

- Figure 4. Results of the clustering analysis performed using STRUCTURE Version 2.3.4 (a) Delta K values for various assumed population numbers (K) in the STRUCTURE analysis, and (b) The Q-plot illustrating the clustering of 33 Colchicum specimens at the K = 3 clustering level using the set of discriminative single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Different subpopulations are represented by distinct colors (first subpopulation: red, second subpopulation: green, third subpopulation: blue).
- Şekil 4. STRUCTURE Versiyon 2.3.4 kullanılarak gerçekleştirilen kümeleme analizi sonuçları (a) STRUCTURE analizinde varsayılan farkl^ı popülasyon sayılar^ı (K) için Delta K değerleri ve (b) Ayırt edici tek nükleotid polimorfizmleri (SNP'ler) kullanılarak K = 3 kümeleme düzeyinde 33 Colchicum örneğinin kümelenmesini gösteren Q-plot. Farkl^ı alt popülasyonlar farkl^ı renklerle temsil edilmiştir (birinci alt popülasyon: kırmızı, ikinci alt popülasyon: yeşil, üçüncü alt popülasyon: mavi).

DISCUSSION

As in this study, many studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of the 18S rRNA gene in plant barcoding. Dong et al. (2003) used DNA sequences from the $5S$ rRNA spacer, the ITS region, and the 18S rRNA coding region to identify the phylogenetic relationships of ten Astragalus species generally found in China. Their research indicated the highest sequence similarity between A. membranaceus and A. membranaceus var. mongholicus, whereas other Astragalus species exhibited less significant genetic relationships. Safhi et al. (2022) demonstrated the efficiency of DNA barcoding using nuclear regions (ITS and 18S rRNA) for the identification of $C.$ gileadensis accessions. Aykut (2020) contributed to the field of DNA barcoding by highlighting the importance of using rDNA regions for identifying and evaluating relationships of taxonomically problematic species, specifically Quercus species in Türkiye. The study found that both regions include intergenic spacer (IGS), 5S rRNA genes, and ITS1, ITS2, 18S rRNA, 5.8S rRNA, and 25S rRNA genes, which are useful tools for DNA barcoding of Quercus species. Based on the study by Bae (2009), the region containing three genes, including the 18S rRNA gene, of Atractylodes japonica Koidz was successfully amplified and sequenced, revealing a close phylogenetic relationship with other members of the Compositae family. Yang et al. (1999) estimated the phylogenetic relationships among nine genera in four tribes of the Brassicaceae family using nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences, including the 18S rRNA gene, revealing distinct groupings and suggesting closer relationships between certain genera. Soltis et al. (2000) conducted a phylogenetic analysis of 560 angiosperms and seven outgroups using a combined data set that included the 18S rDNA gene. Their study provided the most highly resolved and strongly supported topology for angiosperms to date.

So far, there has been no study to identify Colchicum species with 18S rRNA gene region. However, there are identifications of Colchicum species in the literature by both morphological and molecular methods. In the differentiation of Colchicum species, it is seen that markers such as AFLP and RAPD and gene regions such as ITS, trnl-trnH are frequently used on a molecular basis. Several studies have investigated the identification of Colchicum species using molecular markers. Karakas et al. (2014) conducted molecular analyses of Colchicum species using AFLP molecular marker from Türkiye, contributing to a better understanding of genetic variations within the genus. Persson et al. (2011) analyzed the phylogenetic relationships among Colchicum species using trnL-trnF IGS, trnL intron, trnH-psbA IGS, trnY-trnD IGS, atpB-rbcL IGS, and rps16 intron plastid regions, morphological and chromosomal characteristics, and non-coding chloroplast DNA sequence data. Sahin et al. (2020) used the $trnL-trnF$ chloroplast gene region and the ITS nuclear gene region to determine the phylogenetic relationships of 52 Colchicum species. Consistent with the research findings, the ITS and trnL-trnF analyses revealed a close relationship between C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell, and C. stevenii. Also, the trnL-trnF phylogenetic tree supported the findings of Persson et al. (2011) that C. balansae Planchon and C. macrophyllum B.L. Burtt were close species in the same clade. Similarly, this analysis revealed the close relationship of these two species. Tuyel et al. (2020) assessed genetic variation patterns in 16 Colchicum species, utilizing RAPD markers and analyzing the chloroplast DNA sequence trnL-trnF. This study confirmed conclusions of Tuyel et al. (2020) about the relationship between C. speciosum Steven and C. balansae Planchon based on RAPD data. Gandhi et al. (2023) utilized DNA barcoding to accurately identify two varieties of Colchicum, specifically Colchicum autumnale L. and Colchicum luteum Baker. The DNA barcodes used in this study included the rbcL and psbA-trnH regions, which were effective in distinguishing between the two species. This method proved essential in differentiating the species, especially given the lack of prior literature on the DNA barcoding of Colchicum luteum Baker.

Sahin et al. (2021) examined the floristic diversity of the Colchicum genus in Türkiye by analyzing 52 species based on 36 morphological traits using principal component analysis (PCA). Their study highlighted significant morphological differences, particularly in flowering time, perigon tube structure, and leaf characteristics, aiding in species characterization. They recommended the need for further investigation to gain a complete understanding of the biogeography and evolutionary aspects of these species. Sahin et al. (2021) found the same seasonal patterns in species grouping in their morphological characterization analysis utilizing the same samples as in this study. When comparing this study with Sahin et al. (2021) in terms of the relationship among species, it was determined that in both studies, C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell and C. stevenii; C. burttii Meikle and *C. serpentinum* Woron. ex Miscz.; *C.* speciosum Steven and C. balansae Planchon were found to be closely related to each other. Furthermore, in both studies, C. polyphyllum Boiss. & Heldr. From autumn species were found to be closer to C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell and C. stevenii Kunth species from late-autumn species. The findings demonstrated concurrence between the morphological and genetic identification approaches.

When comparing the morphological study by Düşen and Sümbül (2007) on Colchicum species with the

similarities observed in this study, C. speciosum Steven and C. cilicicum (Boiss.) Dammer as well as C. balansae Planchon and C. cilicicum (Boiss.) Dammer was identified as similar species in their research. However, in this study, C. cilicicum (Boiss.) Dammer is grouped distantly from C. balansae Planchon and C. speciosum Steven, while C. balansae Planchon and C. speciosum Steven are clustered on the same branch (IIF). Additionally, while Düşen and Sümbül (2007) classified C. serpentinum Woron. ex Miscz and C. stevenii as closely related species, this study finds them on the same main branch but in different subbranches, with C. serpentinum Woron. ex Miscz in IIE and *C. stevenii* in IIC.

In this preliminary study, analysis of the complementary phylogenetic tree and STRUCTURE results revealed that each sample clustered within its respective species. Furthermore, species occurring in late autumn and winter formed distinct clusters corresponding to their seasonal groups. Notably, autumn species also displayed close clustering, except C. polyphyllum Boiss. & Heldr., which deviated from this pattern. In the STRUCTURE analysis, C. polyphyllum Boiss. & Heldr. (first subpopulation) was observed to form a distinct group within its species, independent of the other species. Within the phylogenetic tree, C. cilicicum (Boiss.) Dammer and C. boissieri Orph. are closely grouped in group 1A. This clustering is corroborated by the STRUCTURE analysis, which identifies these species within the third subpopulation. C. stevenii Kunth and C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell are classified together in the IIC group on the phylogenetic tree and in the second subpopulation in the STRUCTURE analysis. Additionally, the STRUCTURE analysis, which assigns C. balansae Planchon and C. macrophyllum B.L. Burtt to the second subpopulation, supports the close grouping of these species within group IIF in the phylogenetic tree. This research also highlighted the close relationships among C. stevenii Kunth and C. baytopiorum C.D. Brickell; C. balansae Planchon, C. speciosum Steven, and C. macrophyllum B.L. Burtt; and C. burttii Meikle and C. serpentinum Woron. ex Miscz. These findings are consistent with previous studies on the identification of *Colchicum* species.

CONCLUSION

This study represents a preliminary effort to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships among Colchicum specimens using the 18S rRNA gene region. While the findings provide valuable initial insights, they also highlight the need for further research incorporating additional molecular markers to enhance the robustness and accuracy of the phylogenetic analyses. Future studies should consider using multiple barcode genes, such as matK, and rbcL, to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic diversity and evolutionary relationships within the *Colchicum* genus. This approach will enable more detailed and reliable reconstructions of species relationships, ultimately contributing to a more nuanced understanding of the taxonomy and evolution of this important plant group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Ahu ALTINKUT UNCUOĞLU from the Department of Bioengineering at Marmara University and Prof. Yıldız AYDIN from the Department of Biology at Marmara University for their significant contributions to the completion of this study.

Contribution Rate Statement Summary of Researchers

The authors declare that they have contributed equally to the article.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Aykut, Y. (2020). The importance in DNA barcoding of the regions which is covering rRNA genes and its sequences in the genus *Quercus* L. Bangladesh Journal of Plant Taxonomy, 27(2), 261-271.
- Chandra, L.D. (2016). Bio-diversity and conservation of medicinal and aromatic plants. Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research, 5(4), 00186.
- Bae, Y. M. (2009). DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the 18s rRNA gene of Atractylodes japonica Koidz and analysis of atractylon. Korean Journal of Medicinal Crop Science, 17(1), 26-32.
- Demirel, F., Gürcan, K., & Akar, T. (2022). Molecular characterization and assessment of population structure of hulled wheats. Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi Tarım ve Doğa Dergisi, ²⁵(1), 192-199.
- Dong, T.T., Ma, X.Q., Clarke, C., Song, Z.H., Ji, Z.N., et al. (2003). Phylogeny of Astragalus in China: molecular evidence from the DNA sequences of $5S$ rRNA spacer, ITS, and 18S rRNA. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, ⁵¹(23), 6709- 6714.
- Doyle, J.J., & Doyle, J.L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure from small quantities of fresh leaf tissues. Phytochem *Bulletin*, 19(1), 11-15.
- Düşen, O.D., & Sümbül, H. (2007). A morphological investigation of Colchicum L.(Liliaceae) species in the Mediterranean region in Turkey. Turkish Journal of Botany, ³¹(5), 373-419.
- Earl, D.A., VonHoldt, B.M. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for

visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation Genetics Resources, ⁴, 359-361.

- Eckenrode, V.K., Arnold, J., Meagher, R.B. (1985). Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of soybean 18S rRNA with the sequences of other smallsubunit rRNAs. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 21, 259-269.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology, ¹⁴(8), 2611-2620.
- Fukunaga, K., Hill, J., Vigouroux, Y., Matsuoka, Y., Sanchez, G.J., Liu, K., Buckler, E.S., Doebley, J. (2005). Genetic diversity and population structure of teosinte. Genetics, 169(4), 2241-2254.
- Gandhi, Y., Rawat, H., Kumar, V., Thakur, A., Mishra, S. K., Charde, V., ... & Acharya, R. (2023). Identification of two kinds of Colchicum corms by DNA barcoding, physicochemical, chromatographic and chemometric analyses along with pharmacognostic parameters. Microchemical Journal, 195, 109399.
- Hailu, T., Sharma, R., Mann, S., Gupta, P., Gupta, R. K., Rani, A. (2021). Determination of bioactive phytochemicals, antioxidant and antiinflammatory activity of *Colchicum autumnale* L.(Suranjanshireen). Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources (IJNPR)[Formerly Natural Product Radiance (NPR)], ¹²(1), 52-60.
- Hamby, R.K., & Zimmer, E.A. (1988). Ribosomal RNA sequences for inferring phylogeny within the grass family (Poaceae). Plant Systematics and Evolution, 160, 29-37.
- Hebert, P.D., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L., DeWaard, J.R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, ²⁷⁰(1512), 313-321.
- Hollingsworth, P.M., Graham, S.W., Little, D.P. (2011). Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. PloS One, 6(5), e19254.
- Karakan, F.Y., Kiliç, A., & Çetin, B.E. (2024). Altınözü ilçesinde yetiştirilen sarımsak (Allium sativum L.) genotiplerinin morfolojik ve moleküler karakterizasyonu. Kahramanmaras Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi Tarım ve Doğa Dergisi, ²⁷(2), 333-343.
- Karakas, O.M., Turktas, M., Ertugrul, F., Kaya, E. (2014). Use of fluorescent-based amplified fragment length polymorphism to resolve phylogenetic relationships of *Colchicum* species from Turkey. Genetics and Molecular Research, 13(1), 1480-1490.
- Kress, W.J. (2017). Plant DNA barcodes: Applications today and in the future. Journal of Systematics and Evolution, 55(4), 291-307.
- Kress, W.J., & Erickson, D.L. (2008). DNA barcoding: A windfall for tropical biology?. Biotropica 40 (4): 405-408.
- Messing, J., Carlson, J., Hagen, G., Rubenstein, I., Oleson, A. (1984). Cloning and sequencing of the ribosomal RNA genes in maize: the 17S region. DNA , $3(1)$, $31-40$.
- Mishra, P., Kumar, A., Nagireddy, A., Mani, D.N., Shukla, A.K., Tiwari, R., Sundaresan, V. (2016). DNA barcoding: an efficient tool to overcome authentication challenges in the herbal market. Plant Biotechnology Journal, ¹⁴(1), 8-21.
- Nickrent, D.L., & Soltis, D.E. (1995). A comparison of angiosperm phylogenies from nuclear 18S rDNA and rbcL sequences. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 82(2), 208-234.
- Persson, K., Petersen, G., del Hoyo, A., Seberg, O., Jørgensen, T. (2011). A phylogenetic analysis of the genus Colchicum L. (Colchicaceae) based on sequences from six plastid regions. Taxon, $60(5)$, 1349-1365.
- Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics, 155(2), 945-959.
- Rather, R.A., Bano, H., Firoz, A., Mohammed Ali, H., Bhat, M.A., Padder, S.A., Nafees, H., Hakeem, K.R. (2022). The assessment of morphological diversity of Colchicum luteum L., an economically important threatened medicinal plant of Kashmir Himalaya. Sustainability, 14(3), 1327.
- Safhi, F.A., ALshamrani, S.M., Jalal, A.S., El-Moneim, D.A., Alyamani, A.A., Ibrahim, A.A. (2022). Genetic characterization of some Saudi Arabia's accessions from commiphora gileadensis using physiobiochemical parameters, molecular markers, DNA barcoding analysis and relative gene expression. *Genes, 13*(11), 2099.
- Sahin, E.C., Mete, S., Aydemir, M., Aydin, Y., Uncuoglu, A.A. (2020). Assessing Pylogenetic Accuracy of Plant Species with Chloroplast and Nuclear Genome Specific Markers. In F. Vardar, Y. Aydin, A.A. Uncuoglu (Eds), Recent Advances in Plant Science, (pp. 33-63). Nova Science Publishers,Inc.
- Sahin, E.C., Kaya, E., Tuyel, U., Aydin, Y, Ozhatay, N., Uncuoglu, A.A. (2021). An assessment on the floristic variety of Colchicum genus of Turkey in terms of morphological characteristics. Scientia Horticulturae, 287, 110251.
- Savolainen, V., Cowan, R.S., Vogler, A.P., Roderick, G.K., Lane, R. (2005). Towards writing the encyclopaedia of life: an introduction to DNA barcoding. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 360(1462), 1805-1811.
- Soltis, D.E., Soltis, P.S., Nickrent, D.L., Johnson, L.A., Hahn, W.J., Hoot, S.B., Sweere, J.A., Kuzoff, R.K., Kron, K.A., Chase, M.W., Swensen, S.M., Zimmer, E.A., Chaw, S.M., Gillespie, L.J., Kress, W.J., Sytsma, K.J. (1997). Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from 18S ribosomal DNA sequences. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 84(1), 1–49.
- Soltis, D. E., Soltis, P. S., Chase, M. W., Mort, M. E., Albach, D. C., Zanis, M., ... & Farris, J. S. (2000). Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from 18S rDNA, rbcL, and atpB sequences. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, ¹³³(4), 381-461.
- Srivastava, S., Sanchita, Bhargava, M., Sharma, A. (2016). DNA Barcoding of Medicinal Plants. In H.S. Tsay, L.F. Shyur, D. Agrawal, Y.C. Wu, S.Y. Wang (Eds.), Medicinal Plants - Recent Advances in Research and Development. Springer, Singapore.
- Takaiwa, F., Oono, K., Sugiura, M. (1984). The complete nucleotide sequence of a rice 17S rRNA

gene. Nucleic Acids Research, 12(13), 5441-5448.

- Techen, N., Parveen, I., Pan, Z., Khan, I.A. (2014). DNA barcoding of medicinal plant material for identification. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 25, 103-110.
- Toplan, G.G., Gürer, Ç., Mat, A. (2016). Importance of Colchicum species in modern therapy and its significance in Turkey. Journal of Faculty of Pharmacy of Istanbul University, 46(2), 129-144.
- Tüyel, A.U., Şahin, E.Ç., Akfirat, F.Ş., Aydin, Y., Uncuoğlu, A.A. (2020). Phylogenetic analysis of Colchicum (Colchicaceae) genus by molecular markers from nuclear and chloroplast genome. Genetics & Applications, $4(1)$, 1-11.
- Yang, Y. W., Lai, K. N., Tai, P. Y., Ma, D. P., & Li, W. H. (1999). Molecular phylogenetic studies of Brassica, Rorippa, Arabidopsis and allied genera based on the internal transcribed spacer region of 18S–25S rDNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 13(3), 455-462.